AS FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ON FEBRUARY 10, 1999 REGISTRATION NO. 333-39841

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO FORM S-3 REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

HEICO CORPORATION (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

FLORIDA (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

1

65-0341002 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3000 TAFT STREET HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA 33021 (954) 987-6101 (Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number, Including Area Code, of Registrant's Principal Executive Offices)

LAURANS A. MENDELSON CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER 3000 TAFT STREET HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA 33021 (954) 987-6101 (Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

COPIES OF COMMUNICATIONS TO:

BRUCE E. MACDONOUGH, ESQ. MICHAEL G. TAYLOR, ESQ. GREENBERG TRAURIG, P.A. 1221 BRICKELL AVENUE MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131 (305) 579-0500 ROBERT EVANS III, ESQ. SHEARMAN & STERLING 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022 (212) 848-4000

APPROXIMATE DATE OF COMMENCEMENT OF PROPOSED SALE TO THE PUBLIC: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement becomes effective.

If the only securities being registered on this Form are being offered pursuant to dividend or interest reinvestment plans, please check the following box. []

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act"), other than securities offered only in connection with dividend or interest reinvestment plans, check the following box. []

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. []

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. []

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box. []

THE REGISTRANT HEREBY AMENDS THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT ON SUCH DATE OR DATES AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO DELAY ITS EFFECTIVE DATE UNTIL THE REGISTRANT SHALL FILE A FURTHER AMENDMENT WHICH SPECIFICALLY STATES THAT THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT SHALL THEREAFTER BECOME EFFECTIVE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 8(A) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 OR UNTIL THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE ON SUCH DATE AS THE COMMISSION, ACTING PURSUANT TO SAID SECTION 8(A), MAY DETERMINE.

- ------

PROSPECTUS

- -----

3,200,000 SHARES

(HEICO CORPORATION LOGO)

CLASS A COMMON STOCK

HEICO Corporation is offering 2,960,000 shares of Class A common stock, and the selling shareholder is offering 240,000 shares of Class A common stock. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of Class A common stock by the selling shareholder.

Our authorized capital stock includes common stock, par value \$.01 per share, and Class A common stock, par value \$.01 per share. The rights of the holders of Class A common stock and the holders of the common stock are identical, except that the holders of Class A common stock are entitled to one-tenth of a vote for each share of Class A common stock while the common stock entitles its holders to one vote per share. Immediately after this offering, the outstanding shares of Class A common stock will represent approximately 7.8% of the combined voting power of the outstanding shares of both classes of common stock.

Our Class A common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "HEI.A." On February 10, 1999, the last sale price of the Class A common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange was \$21 5/16 per share.

INVESTING IN THE CLASS A COMMON STOCK INVOLVES RISKS WHICH ARE DESCRIBED IN THE "RISK FACTORS" SECTION BEGINNING ON PAGE 10 OF THIS PROSPECTUS.

	PER SHARE	TOTAL
Public Offering Price Underwriting Discount Proceeds, before expenses, to HEICO	\$20.00 \$1.00	\$64,000,000 \$3,200,000
Corporation Proceeds to the selling shareholder	\$19.00 \$19.00	\$56,240,000 \$4,560,000

The underwriters may also purchase up to an additional 240,000 shares from us and up to an additional 240,000 shares from the selling shareholder at the public offering price, less the underwriting discount, within 30 days from the date of this prospectus to cover over-allotments.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The shares of Class A Common Stock will be ready for delivery in New York, New York on or about February 17, 1999.

MERRILL LYNCH & CO.

RAYMOND JAMES & ASSOCIATES, INC.

ING BARING FURMAN SELZ LLC

The date of this prospectus is February 10, 1999.

[picture]

Our Flight Support Group New Product Development engineers utilize sophisticated Computer Aided Design (CAD) computers and software to re-engineer jet engine replacement parts, such as the parts shown in the foreground of the photograph.

[picture]

Flight Support Group New Product Development engineers work in the Company's Metrology Laboratory.

[picture]

A Flight Support Group Team Member manufactures aircraft parts.

PAGE

Prospectus Summary	4
Risk Factors	10
Use of Proceeds	17
Capitalization	18
Price Range of Common Stock and Dividends	19
Selected Consolidated Financial Data	20
Selected Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Data	21
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition	
and Results of Operations	24
Business	32
Management	4.5
Principal and Selling Shareholders	47
Description of Capital Stock	50
Shares Eligible for Future Sale	53
Certain United States Tax Consequences to Non-United States	00
Holders	53
Underwriting	56
Legal Matters	58
Experts	58
Available Information	58
Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference	59
Index to Financial Statements	59 F-1
INGEX CO FINANCIAI SCALEMENCS	r - 1

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus includes forward-looking statements. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, and assumptions about HEICO Corporation, including, among other things:

- Our anticipated growth strategies and ability to integrate acquired businesses,
- Our intention to introduce new products,
- Product pricing levels,

4

- Product specifications costs and requirements,
- Governmental and regulatory demands,
- Anticipated trends in our businesses, including trends in the markets for jet engine parts, jet engine overhaul and ground support equipment,
- Economic conditions within and outside of the aerospace, aviation and defense industries, and
- Our ability to continue to control costs and maintain quality.

We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In light of these risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, the forward-looking events discussed in the prospectus might not occur.

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not, and the underwriters have not, authorized any other person to provide you with different information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. We are not, and the underwriters are not, making an offer to sell these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted. You should assume that the information appearing in this prospectus is accurate as of the date on the front cover of this prospectus only. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since that date.

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

In this section, we have provided you with an overview of some of the more important information in this Prospectus. However, we caution you that this information is not complete. You should read all of the information in this Prospectus before purchasing any Class A Common Stock. When we refer to "fiscal" or "fiscal year," we mean our fiscal year ended October 31, and when we refer to "pro forma," we include information for McClain International, Inc. and Rogers-Dierks, Inc., two companies that we acquired in 1998.

THE COMPANY

HEICO believes it is the world's largest manufacturer of Federal Aviation Administration-approved jet engine replacement parts, other than the original equipment manufacturers and their subcontractors. It is also a leading manufacturer of ground support equipment to the airline and defense industries. Through our Flight Support Group, we use proprietary technology to design, manufacture and sell jet engine replacement parts for sale at lower prices than those manufactured by original equipment manufacturers. These parts are approved by the FAA and are the functional equivalent of parts sold by original equipment manufacturers. In addition, our Flight Support Group repairs, refurbishes and overhauls jet engine and aircraft components for domestic and foreign commercial air carriers and aircraft repair companies. In fiscal 1998, the Flight Support Group accounted for 73% of pro forma revenues. Through our Ground Support Group, we manufacture various types of ground support equipment, including electrical power, air start, air conditioning and heating units, as well as some electronic equipment for commercial airlines and military agencies. In fiscal 1998, the Ground Support Group accounted for 27% of pro forma revenues. We currently sell our products to every major U.S. airline, as well as a growing number of airlines throughout the world.

We have continuously operated in the aerospace industry for 38 years. Since assuming control in 1990, current management has achieved significant sales and profit growth through expanded product offerings, an expanded customer base, increased research and development expenditures, and the completion of a number of acquisitions. As a result of internal growth and acquisitions, our revenues have grown from \$19.2 million in fiscal 1994 to pro forma revenues of \$112.4 million in fiscal 1998, a compound annual growth rate of 56%. During the same period, net income increased from \$1.9 million to pro forma net income of \$12.1 million, a compound annual growth rate of 59%.

In October 1997, we formed a strategic alliance with Lufthansa Technik AG, the technical services subsidiary of Lufthansa German Airlines AG. Lufthansa Technik is the world's largest independent provider of engineering and maintenance services for aircraft and aircraft engines and supports over 200 airlines, governments and other customers. As part of the transaction, Lufthansa Technik acquired a 20% minority interest in our Flight Support Group, investing \$29 million to date and committing to invest an additional \$9 million over the next two years. This includes direct equity investments and the funding of specific research and development projects. In connection with subsequent acquisitions by our Flight Support Group, Lufthansa Technik invested additional amounts pursuant to its option to maintain a 20% equity interest. This strategic alliance should enable us to expand domestically and internationally by enhancing our ability to (i) identify key jet engine replacement parts with significant profit potential by utilizing Lufthansa Technik's extensive operating data on engine parts, (ii) introduce those parts throughout the world in an efficient manner due to Lufthansa Technik's testing and diagnostic resources, and (iii) broaden our customer base by capitalizing on Lufthansa Technik's established relationships and alliances within the airline industry.

The Canaan Group, an independent management consulting firm specializing in the aviation and aerospace industries, estimated the 1998 worldwide annual sales for jet engine repair, refurbishment and overhaul services to be approximately \$7.5 billion, of which approximately \$4 billion represented annual sales of jet engine replacement parts. While we currently supply less than 2% of the market for jet engine replacement parts, we have been adding new products at a rapid rate. According to the Canaan Group, the jet engine replacement parts market is expected to grow at approximately 4-5% over the next three years. Historically, the three principal jet engine original equipment manufacturers, Pratt & Whitney, General Electric (including CFM International) and Rolls Royce, have been the sole source for substantially all new replacement parts. We believe that, based on our competitive pricing, reputation for high quality, short lead time requirements, strong relationships with domestic and foreign commercial air carriers and airmotives (companies that overhaul aircraft), relationship with Lufthansa Technik and successful track record of receiving Parts Manufacturer Approvals from the FAA, we are uniquely positioned to continue to increase our product lines and gain market share.

According to a 1996 study conducted by GSE TODAY, a leading ground support industry publication, the 1995 annual sales of the worldwide commercial ground support equipment market were approximately \$1.7 billion and that market was expected to grow at approximately 7% annually over the next five years. We currently supply less than 2% of this market. We believe that the ground support equipment market is highly fragmented, with a significant number of participants supplying only one or two types of equipment. We believe that our growth in the ground support equipment market will be driven by our ability to differentiate our product offerings with more technologically advanced and value-added products and services, as well as our ability to acquire complementary businesses.

Jet engine maintenance is a highly regulated, ongoing process that typically accounts for approximately 6% of an aircraft's total operating costs. FAA regulations require "cradle-to-grave" documentation of an engine's service life, as well as the individual parts that comprise the engine. We utilize sophisticated computer aided design technologies, advanced engineering, proprietary design and manufacturing capabilities, and our established credibility with the FAA to obtain Parts Manufacturer Approvals from the FAA, allowing us to produce parts which are the functional equivalent of those available from the original equipment manufacturer. We believe that our sophisticated and proprietary design capabilities and experience with the Parts Manufacturer Approval process create a significant barrier to entry for others.

We believe that there are several favorable industry trends in the aviation industry that will contribute to the growth in the markets for jet engine replacement parts and ground support equipment products, including: (i) expected strong growth in aircraft traffic and fleet size; (ii) an increase in the number of older aircraft in service; (iii) increased FAA regulations and maintenance and safety requirements that require repair or overhaul of engine and airframe components; and (iv) consolidation of the service and supply chain in the aircraft industry generally.

We believe that replacement jet engine products and services are less susceptible than new aircraft purchases to economic cycles of the airline industry because FAA regulations require the regular replacement of jet engine parts. In our experience, demand for replacement jet engine parts typically commences four to seven years after an aircraft is first put into service. Also, many airlines tend to replace parts more frequently than required by the FAA to ensure optimal engine performance and the efficiency of their aircraft. We believe that we are different from most aerospace and defense suppliers because reductions in new aircraft orders should not adversely affect our business. Our business mostly serves companies that operate existing aircraft, not companies that build aircraft. Airline companies are increasingly cost conscious, especially during economic down-cycles, which prompts them to seek more cost effective alternatives to replacement parts manufactured by original equipment manufacturers and prompts them to overhaul accessory components and fuselage structures in greater numbers in order to reduce operating costs. Most of the products sold by our Ground Support Group are not sold specifically to furnish new commercial aircraft, but are more frequently sold to replace existing older equipment, to retrofit airport gates or to service other aerospace applications.

GROWTH STRATEGY

We intend to capitalize on our reputation for assured quality, proprietary research and development and manufacturing capabilities, customer relationships, alliance with Lufthansa Technik, as well as favorable industry trends to continue to achieve profitable growth utilizing the following specific strategies:

- Expand Jet Engine Replacement Parts Product Lines. We intend to broaden our current jet engine replacement parts product lines through the development and receipt of additional Parts Manufacturer Approvals from the FAA. Since 1991, we have added approximately 200 new Parts Manufacturer Approval parts through internal development and 160 through acquisitions. We currently supply over 700 parts for Pratt & Whitney JT3D, JT8D, JT9D, PW2000 and PW4000 and CFM International CFM56 engines. We intend to increase the number of jet engine parts we offer on most of these engines, as well as expand into new engine types. We select the jet engine replacement parts to design and manufacture through a process which analyzes industry information to determine which jet engine replacement parts are expected to generate the greatest profitability. Most jet engine replacement parts selected are complex, high value-added and require specific technical expertise. As part of this strategy, we have increased our research and development expenditures from \$300,000 in fiscal 1991 to approximately \$4.4 million in fiscal 1998. We believe that our sophisticated proprietary design technologies, advanced engineering and manufacturing capabilities and our established credibility with the FAA expedites the process of obtaining Parts Manufacturer Approvals.
- Expand Ground Support Equipment Product Lines. Since entering the ground support equipment industry in fiscal 1996, we have aggressively expanded our ground support equipment lines with new value-added and technologically advanced products. Over the past two years, we have added 16 new ground support equipment products. These offerings include a new range of aircraft ground air-conditioning systems, an advanced electronic power supply system replacing existing technology for use with the International Space Station, a ground cooling system for the new F-22 Raptor fighter aircraft and a new commercial continuous-flow pneumatic airstart system. In addition, our Ground Support Group continually redesigns its existing product offerings to reflect changes in technology and differentiate its products from those of its competitors. In November 1998, our Ground Support Group introduced a ground aircraft heating system which has met with strong initial demand. In order to facilitate these new product lines, our Ground Support Group has dramatically improved its production capabilities by implementing a flow line-based manufacturing protocol and adding a new state-of-the-art, 113,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Palmetto, Florida.
- Expand Overhaul and Repair Business. Our Flight Support Group has also pursued expansion of its FAA-authorized overhaul and repair business. Northwings' revenues increased 48% from approximately \$10 million in the twelve months prior to its acquisition to \$14.8 million in fiscal 1998. This growth resulted from the addition of new repair and overhaul services, as well as increased production capability and marketing efforts through an increase in personnel. Northwings' historical customer base has been limited to small passenger airlines and cargo airlines. We are seeking to expand Northwings' customer base with these types of customers, as well as add larger commercial airline customers. This strategy also applies to the fuselage structures repair business acquired in October 1998.
- Pursue Acquisitions of Complementary Businesses. A key element of our strategy involves growth through acquisitions in both the Flight and Ground Support Group businesses. In connection with our acquisitions, we seek to identify cost savings and production efficiencies, increase research and development and marketing expenditures and improve customer service. Historically, through application of this strategy, we have achieved significant growth in revenues and product offerings while improving overall profitability. Our Flight Support Group, in December 1998, acquired Rogers-Dierks, Inc., and in July 1998 acquired McClain International, Inc., both of which are designers and manufacturers of FAA Parts Manufacturer Approval jet engine replacement parts. In September 1997, we acquired Northwings, an FAA-authorized overhaul and repair facility, and, in

6

October 1998, Associated Composite, Inc., a Miami, Florida-based aircraft fuselage structure repair and overhaul business, to complement our Flight Support Group.

- Expand Internationally. In fiscal 1998, approximately 23% of our revenues were derived from sales outside of the United States. Our strategy is to increase our international sales, both in the jet engine replacement parts and ground support equipment businesses, utilizing our relationship with Lufthansa Technik to identify new customers throughout the world. We intend to leverage Lufthansa Technik's established industry presence and participation in alliances, such as the Star Alliance which is currently comprised of six major airlines, to broaden our international exposure and develop relationships which, we believe, will lead to increased sales of our products internationally.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Effective as of January 31, 1999, our Ground Support Group acquired the Radiant Power products business of Santa Ana, California-based Derlan, Inc. for \$6.5 million in cash. Derlan has advised us that the acquired business had revenues of approximately \$4.36 million for the 12 months ended December 31, 1998. The Radiant Power product line includes back-up power supplies and battery packs for a variety of commercial aircraft applications, including emergency lighting systems, emergency door assist systems, emergency fuel shut-off systems, on-board flight computers, cockpit lighting dimmers and power inverters. Subject to receipt of relevant FAA and customer approvals, Radiant will be consolidated into the Ground Support Group's new 113,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Palmetto, Florida.

Our principal executive offices are located at 3000 Taft Street, Hollywood, Florida, and our telephone number is (954) 987-4000.

Class A Common Stock offered by HEICO Class A Common Stock offered by the selling shareholder	2,960,000 shares 240,000 shares
Total Shares outstanding after the Offering: Class A Common Stock	3,200,000 shares 7,096,106 shares (1)
Common Stock	 8,389,556 shares (1) 8,389,556 shares (2) We estimate that the net proceeds to be received by the Company from this offering will be approximately \$55.7 million. We intend to use the net proceeds: to repay approximately \$43 million of existing indebtedness and for working capital and general corporate
Over-allotment Option	purposes, including possible acquisitions. The Company and the selling shareholder, the Mendelson Reporting Group or entities controlled by it, have also granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to 480,000 shares of Class A Common Stock. See
Risk Factors	"Principal and Selling Shareholders" and "Underwriting." See "Risk Factors" and the other information included in this prospectus for a discussion of factors you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in shares of the Class A Common Stock.
New York Stock Exchange Symbols: Class A Common Stock Common Stock	HEI.A HEI

_ _____

- (1) As of December 31, 1998. Does not include 1,416,536 shares of Class A Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding stock options or 172,310 additional shares of Class A Common Stock reserved for future grants or awards under the Company's existing stock option plans. See "Shares Eligible for Future Sale."
- (2) As of December 31, 1998. Does not include 2,693,203 shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding stock options or 47,399 additional shares of Common Stock reserved for future grants or awards under the Company's existing stock option plans. See "Shares Eligible for Future Sale."

SUMMARY CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following summary consolidated financial data at October 31, 1998 and for the years ended October 31, 1994 through 1998 have been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company. The pro forma financial data, which are not audited, give effect to our July 1998 acquisition of McClain International, Inc. and our December 1998 acquisition of Rogers-Dierks, Inc. as if such transactions had occurred on the first day of fiscal 1998. Pro forma results are presented for comparative purposes only and are not intended to indicate actual results had the transactions occurred as of such date, or indicate results which may be obtained in the future. The following data should be read with "Selected Consolidated Financial Data," "Selected Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Data," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included elsewhere in this Prospectus.

	YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31,					
	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	PRO FORMA 1998
		(IN TH	DUSANDS, EX	XCEPT PER	SHARE DATA)	
OPERATING DATA:						
Net sales	\$19,212	\$25,613	\$34,565	\$63,674	\$95,351	\$112,421
Gross profit Selling, general and administrative expenses	5,835 5,495	8,116 6,405	12,169 7,657	20,629 11,515	36,104 17,140	45,794 20,147
Operating income Interest expense Income:	340 59	1,711 169		9,114 477	18,964 984	25,647 3,215
From continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle From discontinued operations including gain on	\$ 640	\$ 1,437	,		\$10,509	\$ 12,138
sale From cumulative effect on prior years of change in accounting principle	830 381		6,227			
Net income	\$ 1,851	\$ 2,695	\$ 9,892	\$ 7,019	\$10,509	\$ 12,138
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:(1)						
Basic Diluted PER SHARE DATA:(1)	11,209 11,351	11,307 11,930	,	,	12,499 15,541	12,499 15,541
<pre>Income from continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle: Basic.</pre>	^	<u> </u>	¢ 21	ά εq	<u> </u>	¢ 07
Basic Diluted Net income:	\$.06 .06	\$.13 .12	\$.31 .28	\$.58 .49	\$.84 .68	\$.97 .78
Basic	.17	.24		.58	.84	.97
Diluted Cash dividends	.16 .030	.23	.75 .038	.49	.68 .050	.78 .050

	AT OCTOBER 31, 1998	
	ACTUAL	AS ADJUSTED(2)
BALANCE SHEET DATA:		
Working capital	\$ 40,587	\$ 76,287
Total assets	133,061	168,761
Long-term debt (including current portion)	30,520	10,520
Minority interest in consolidated subsidiary	14,892	14,892
Shareholders' equity	67,607	123,307

(1) Information has been adjusted to reflect three-for-two stock splits distributed in April 1996 and December 1997, 10% stock dividends paid in July 1995, February 1996, July 1996 and January 1997 and the 50% stock dividend, in shares of Class A Common Stock, paid in April 1998.

(2) Adjusted to give effect to the sale of the 2,960,000 shares of Class A Common Stock offered by the Company at a public offering price of \$20 per share (after deduction of the estimated underwriting discount and offering expenses) and the receipt and application of the net proceeds therefrom. See "Use of Proceeds."

RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information contained and incorporated by reference in this Prospectus, you should carefully consider the following factors before purchasing any of the Class A Common Stock offered under this Prospectus.

This Prospectus (including the information incorporated by reference) contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Federal securities law. Terminology such as "may," "will," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "continue," "predict," or other similar words identify forward-looking statements. These statements discuss future expectations, contain projections of results of operations or of financial condition or state other forward-looking information. Forward-looking statements appear in a number of places in this Prospectus and include statements regarding management's intent, belief or current expectation about, among other things, (i) trends affecting the aviation industry generally and the segments in which we operate and (ii) our business and growth strategies, including our research and development plans, manufacture of additional replacement parts and potential acquisitions. Although management believes that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those predicted in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those set forth below.

DEPENDENCE ON AVIATION INDUSTRY

Economic factors that affect the aviation industry also affect our business. The aviation industry has historically been subject to downward cycles from time to time which reduce the overall demand for jet engine replacement parts and ground support equipment, as well as drive prices down, increase competition and increase credit risk. These economic factors can have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

RISK OF LOSS OF GOVERNMENTAL AUTHORIZATIONS AND APPROVALS

Governmental agencies throughout the world, including the FAA, highly regulate the repair and overhaul of aircraft engines. Guidelines established by original equipment manufacturers supplement governmental regulation and generally require that aircraft operators overhaul engines and replace specified engine parts after a specified number of flight hours or cycles (take-offs and landings).

We include with the replacement parts that we sell to our customers documentation certifying that each part complies with applicable regulatory requirements and meets applicable standards of airworthiness established by the FAA or the equivalent regulatory agencies in other countries. Specific regulations vary from country to country, although compliance with FAA requirements generally satisfies regulatory requirements in other countries. The revocation or suspension of any of our material authorizations or approvals would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. New and more stringent government regulations, if adopted and enacted, could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

DEPENDENCE ON THE JT8D AIRCRAFT ENGINE AFTERMARKET

The Pratt & Whitney JT8D aircraft engine and its component engine parts substantially influence our business, financial condition and results of operations. Approximately 48% of our net sales during the year ended October 31, 1998 consisted of sales of replacement parts and overhaul services for the JT8D aircraft engine. A significant number of the JT8D aircraft engines in the market are nearing the end of their service lives, at which point they will be withdrawn from operation. These withdrawals will decrease the size of the markets for replacement parts and overhaul services for the JT8D aircraft engine.

Supply and demand substantially affect the aftermarket for JT8D aircraft engine parts. A significant increase in supply or reduction in demand could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. An unanticipated wind-down or liquidation of an air carrier operating a

large number of JT8D aircraft engines could cause a significant increase in supply. A change in preferences or the imposition of regulations affecting the use of JT8D aircraft engines could reduce demand. The following are examples of factors that could decrease demand for JT8D aircraft engines:

- Hush-Kits. The FAA and the European Union have implemented noise reduction regulations that reduce the number of older model JT8D aircraft that may be operated in the United States and the member states of the European Union unless noise reduction equipment, known as "hush-kits," are added to the aircraft, or the aircraft are otherwise modified to Stage 3 compliance. The FAA requires full compliance with the Stage 3 noise levels by December 31, 1999, unless a waiver is received from the FAA. Additional noise reduction rules imposed by communities surrounding some major European cities further restrict the operation of non-Stage 3 compliant aircraft in those markets. The European Union is currently considering a proposed regulation which would bar the registration in a member state of the European Union after March 31, 1999 and, with some exceptions, the operation in the European Union after April 1, 2002, of any older model JT8D aircraft which has reached Stage 3 compliance through hush-kitting or some other means. The failure to hush-kit JT8D aircraft could significantly reduce the demand for JT8D aircraft engines, resulting in an oversupply of JT8D aircraft engines and component engine parts. This, in turn, could decrease the value of our products and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Aircraft operators may replace their older model JT8D aircraft with newer, quieter aircraft engines, rather than hush-kit or otherwise modify them to Stage 3 compliance.
- Other Regulations. Other regulations in both the United States and the European Union impose stringent inspection, upgrading, maintenance and retrofit requirements on aging aircraft and aircraft engines that increase the cost of operating older model aircraft and aircraft engines.
- Passenger Confidence. A decline in passenger confidence in older aircraft and aircraft engines as a result of apparent fatigue could also discourage aircraft operators from using JT8D aircraft engines.
- Emissions Standards. The Environmental Protection Agency and various agencies of the European Union have sought the adoption of stricter standards limiting the emissions of nitrous oxide from aircraft engines. If adopted, stricter emissions standards could cause the use of JT8D aircraft engines to become substantially more costly in the event modifications must be made to bring aircraft engines into compliance.

NEED TO EXPAND BUSINESS TO OTHER AIRCRAFT ENGINE TYPES

As a result of our focus on the JT8D aircraft engine, we have limited experience with engine parts for other aircraft engine types. We will have to expand our business to other aircraft engine types in preparation for the eventual decline in the JT8D aircraft engine aftermarket. While we are currently developing engine parts for other aircraft engines, we may not be able to profitably expand into new markets with other aircraft engines, and we may not be able to achieve acceptable levels of net sales and gross profit in new markets.

COMPETITION

We face significant competition in each of our businesses.

Flight Support Group

- For jet engine replacement parts, we compete with the industry's leading jet engine original equipment manufacturers, particularly Pratt & Whitney and, to a lesser extent, General Electric.
- For the overhaul and repair of jet engine and airframe components, we compete with
 - -- major commercial airlines, many of which operate their own maintenance and overhaul units,
 - -- original equipment manufacturers, which manufacture, repair and overhaul their own parts, and
 - -- other independent service companies.

Ground Support Group

- For the design and manufacture of various types of ground support equipment, we compete in a highly fragmented marketplace with a number of companies, some of which are well capitalized.

The aviation aftermarket supply industry is highly fragmented, has several highly visible leading companies and is characterized by intense competition. Some of our competitors have substantially greater name recognition, complementary lines of business and financial, marketing and other resources. In addition, original equipment manufacturers, aircraft maintenance providers, leasing companies and FAA-certificated repair facilities may vertically integrate into the supply industry, thereby significantly increasing industry competition. Moreover, our smaller competitors may be able to offer more attractive pricing of parts as a result of lower labor costs or other factors. A variety of potential actions by any of our competitors, including a reduction of product prices or the establishment by competitors of long-term relationships with new or existing customers, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Competition typically intensifies during cyclical downturns in the aviation industry, when supply may exceed demand. We may not be able to continue to compete effectively against present or future competitors, and competitive pressures may have a material and adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

LITIGATION

UTC Litigation. In November 1989, United Technologies Corporation filed a complaint against HEICO alleging infringement of a patent, misappropriation of trade secrets and unfair competition relating to some of the jet engine parts and coatings that we sell in competition with Pratt & Whitney, a division of UTC. The complaints sought damages of approximately \$30 million. Summary judgment motions filed by the Company were granted, and all allegations against the Company were dismissed. UTC is seeking to challenge these rulings in further court proceedings. A counter-claim that we filed is still pending. The ultimate outcome of this litigation is not certain at this time, and we have made no provision for litigation costs and/or gain or loss, if any, in our consolidated financial statements. The legal costs, management efforts and other resources that have been and continue to be incurred are substantial. The lawsuit may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Travelers Litigation. In May 1998, Travelers Casualty & Surety Co., f/k/a The Aetna Casualty and Surety Co., filed a lawsuit against HEICO seeking reimbursement of legal fees and costs totaling in excess of \$15 million paid by Travelers in defending us in the aforementioned litigation with UTC. In addition, Travelers seeks a declaratory judgment that we did not and do not have insurance coverage under some of our insurance policies with Travelers and, accordingly, that Travelers did not have and does not have a duty to defend or indemnify us under these policies. Travelers' lawsuit also names UTC and one of the law firms representing us in the UTC litigation.

We intend to vigorously defend against Travelers' claim and believe that we have significant counterclaims for damages. After taking into consideration legal counsel's evaluation of Travelers' claim, management is of the opinion that the outcome of the Travelers litigation will not have a significant adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

PRODUCT LIABILITY AND CLAIMS EXPOSURE

Our jet engine replacement parts and repair and overhaul services expose our business to potential liabilities for personal injury or death as a result of the failure of an aircraft component that we have designed, manufactured or serviced. The commercial aviation industry occasionally has catastrophic losses that may exceed policy limits. While we believe that our liability insurance is adequate to protect us from these liabilities, and, while no material claims related to these liabilities have been made against us, claims may arise in the future and our insurance coverage may not be adequate. An uninsured or partially insured claim, or a claim for which third-party indemnification is not available, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, insurance coverage may

POSSIBLE INABILITY TO MANAGE GROWTH

We have experienced rapid growth in recent periods and intend to continue to pursue an aggressive growth strategy, both through acquisitions and internal expansion of products and services. Our growth to date has placed, and could continue to place, significant demands on our administrative and operational resources. We may not be able to grow effectively or manage any growth successfully, and the failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

POSSIBLE INABILITY TO IMPLEMENT ACQUISITION STRATEGY

A key element of our strategy is growth through the acquisition of additional companies. Our acquisition strategy poses a number of challenges and risks, including the following:

- Availability of suitable acquisition candidates,
- Availability of capital,
- Diversion of management's attention,
- Integrating the operations and personnel of acquired companies,
- Potential amortization of acquired intangible assets,
- Potential loss of key employees of acquired companies,
- Use of a significant portion of our available cash,
- Significant dilution to our shareholders for acquisitions made utilizing our securities, and
- Consummation of acquisitions on satisfactory terms

We may not be able to successfully execute our acquisition strategy (including the integration of acquired businesses), and the failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITIES; INSURANCE

Our operations and facilities are subject to a number of federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations, which govern, among other things, the discharge of hazardous materials into the air and water, as well as the handling, storage and disposal of hazardous materials. Pursuant to various environmental laws, a current or previous owner or operator of real property may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous materials. Environmental laws typically impose liability whether or not the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of hazardous materials. Although management believes that our operations and facilities are in material compliance with environmental laws and regulations, future changes in them or interpretations thereof or the nature of our operations may require us to make significant additional capital expenditures to ensure compliance in the future.

We do not maintain environmental liability insurance, and the expenses related to these environmental liabilities, if we are required to pay them, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

RISK OF CUSTOMER CONCENTRATION AND CONSOLIDATION OF AVIATION INDUSTRY

Although no individual customer directly accounted for more than 10% of our combined net sales during the fiscal year ended October 31, 1998, our net sales to our five largest customers accounted for approximately 32% of total net sales for that period. The continuing consolidation of various segments of the aviation industry, including vertical integration of original equipment manufacturers and repair and

overhaul businesses, could significantly increase the concentration of our customer base. The loss of, or significant reduction of purchases by, our significant customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

POSSIBLE INABILITY TO DEVELOP AND MANUFACTURE NEW TECHNOLOGIES AND PRODUCTS

The aviation industry is constantly undergoing development and change and, accordingly, new products, equipment and methods of repair and overhaul service are likely to be introduced in the future. In addition to manufacturing ground support equipment and selected aerospace and defense components for original equipment manufacturers and the U.S. government and repairing jet engines and airframe components, we re-design sophisticated jet engine replacement parts originally developed by jet engine original equipment manufacturers so that we can offer the replacement parts for sale at substantially lower prices than those manufactured by the original equipment manufacturers. Consequently, we devote substantial resources to research and product development. Technological development poses a number of challenges and risks, including the following:

- We may not be able to successfully protect the proprietary interests we have in various jet engine parts, ground support equipment and repair processes.
- As original equipment manufacturers continue to develop and improve jet engines, we may not be able to re-design and manufacture replacement parts that perform as well as those offered by original equipment manufacturers or that we can profitably sell at substantially lower prices than the original equipment manufacturers.
- We may need to expend significant capital to:
 - -- purchase new equipment and machines,
 - -- train employees in new methods of production and service, and
 - -- fund the research and development of new products.
- Development by our competitors of patents or methodologies that preclude us from the design and manufacture of jet engine replacement parts could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, we may not be able to successfully develop new products, equipment or methods of repair and overhaul service, and the failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

CREDIT RISKS

Downward cycles may more adversely affect our smaller customers than our larger customers and, as a result, our smaller customers may pose credit risks to us as a result of our inability to collect receivables from a substantial sale to any of them. Although our bad debt loss was less than 1.0% of sales for the year ended October 31, 1998, we may incur significant bad debt losses in the future.

IMPACT OF THE YEAR 2000

The Year 2000 problem will impact us and our business partners. The Year 2000 problem results from writing computer programs and other business systems with two digits, rather than four, to represent the year. Some of our time sensitive applications and business systems and those of our business partners may recognize a date using "00" as the year 1900 rather than the year 2000, which could result in system failure or disruption of operations. The failure of one of our major vendor's systems to operate properly with respect to the Year 2000 problem on a timely basis or a Year 2000 conversion that is incompatible with our systems could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We have assessed our Year 2000 exposure and are implementing a compliance program. The assessment included inquiries of management and certification requests from hardware and software

vendors. We expect to replace some older software applications with newer ones. We have also developed and are implementing a plan of communication with significant business partners to ensure that our operations are not disrupted through these relationships and that the Year 2000 issues are resolved in a timely manner. We believe that we will be able to achieve Year 2000 compliance in a timely manner. We do not expect the related capital expenditures and other costs to be material.

DEPENDENCE ON KEY PERSONNEL

16

Our success substantially depends on the performance, contributions and expertise of our senior management team led by Laurans A. Mendelson, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer. In addition, we hire many members of our engineering team from original equipment manufacturers, such as General Electric and Pratt & Whitney. These technical employees are critical to our research and product development, as well as our ability to continue to re-design sophisticated products of original equipment manufacturers in order to sell competing replacement parts at substantially lower prices than those manufactured by the jet engine original equipment manufacturers. The loss of the services of any of our executive officers or other key employees or our inability to continue to attract, retain or motivate the necessary personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

CONTROL BY PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS; LIMITED VOTING RIGHTS

After this offering, assuming no exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option, our executive officers and entities controlled by them, our 401(k) Plan and members of the Board of Directors collectively will beneficially own approximately 41% of the outstanding Common Stock and approximately 25% of the outstanding Class A Common Stock. Accordingly, they will be able to substantially influence the election of the Board of Directors and the control of our business, policies and affairs, including the approval of business combinations and defeating any attempted takeover. In addition, the Class A Common Stock offered under this prospectus carries only one-tenth of a vote per share, while the Common Stock carries one full vote per share.

FACTORS INHIBITING TAKEOVER

Articles and Bylaws. Some of the provisions of our Articles and Bylaws may be deemed to have anti-takeover effects and may discourage, delay, defer or prevent a takeover attempt that a shareholder might consider in its best interest. These provisions do the following:

- Establish advance notice procedures for the nomination of candidates for election as directors and for shareholder proposals to be considered at annual shareholders' meetings.
- Provide that special meetings of the shareholders may be called only by the Chairman of the Board of Directors, the President or by a majority of the Board.
- Authorize the issuance of 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock with the designations, rights, preferences and limitations as may be determined from time to time by the Board.
- Authorize the issuance of 30,000,000 shares of Class A Common Stock having one-tenth of a vote per share.

Accordingly, without shareholder approval, the Board can, among other things,

- issue preferred stock with dividend, liquidation, conversion, voting or other rights that could adversely affect the voting powers or other rights of holders of the Common Stock and Class A Common Stock, and
- help maintain existing shareholders' voting power and deter or frustrate takeover attempts that a holder of Common Stock might consider to be in his or her best interest by issuing additional Class A Common Stock instead of Common Stock.

Rights. In addition, one preferred stock purchase right trades with each outstanding share of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock. Each right entitles the registered holder to purchase from us one one-hundredth of a share of a Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, at a price which is subject to adjustment in some circumstances. The rights are not exercisable or transferable apart from the common stock until a person or group acquires 15% or more of the outstanding common stock or commences, or announces an intention to commence, a tender offer for 30% or more of the outstanding common stock. The rights, which expire on November 2, 2003, will cause substantial dilution to a person or a group who attempts to acquire HEICO on terms not approved by the Board or who acquires 15% or more of the outstanding common stock without approval of the Board. We can redeem the rights at \$.01 per right at any time until the close of business on the tenth day after a person or group has obtained beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the outstanding common stock or until a person commences or announces an intention to commence a tender offer for 30% or more of the outstanding common stock.

Subject to adjustment, holders of shares of the Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock will be entitled to, among other things, (x) receive, when, as and if declared by the Board of Directors, (i) cash dividends in an amount per share equal to 100 times the aggregate per share amount of all cash dividends declared or paid on the common stock, (ii) a quarterly preferential cash dividend of \$.75 per share, less cash dividends declared pursuant to clause (i), and (y) 100 votes per share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock on all matters submitted to a vote of the shareholders and the right to vote together with the holders of shares of common stock as a single voting group on all matters submitted to a vote of the shareholders.

Florida Law. Furthermore, some of the provisions of the Florida Business Corporation Act could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control.

USE OF PROCEEDS

The net proceeds to the Company from the sale of the 2,960,000 shares of Class A Common Stock offered hereby, after deducting the estimated underwriting discount and expenses of this offering, will be approximately \$55.7 million. The Company will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of Class A Common Stock by the selling shareholder. The Company intends to use the net proceeds (i) to repay approximately \$43 million of existing indebtedness under our revolving credit facility with SunTrust Bank, as agent for a syndicate of banks, and (ii) for working capital and general corporate purposes, including potential acquisitions within the aerospace products and services industries. The approximately \$43 million of indebtedness to be repaid bears interest at the weighted average rate of 5.9% per annum and matures July 2001. Such indebtedness was incurred in July 1998, December 1998 and February 1999 and was used to partly finance the acquisitions of McClain, Rogers-Dierks and the Radiant Power products business. Our revolving credit facility allows us to reborrow the amounts which we repay.

Pending use of the net proceeds from this offering as discussed above, the Company intends to make temporary investments in United States dollar denominated interest-bearing savings accounts, certificates of deposit, United States Government obligations, money market accounts, or other insured short-term, interest-bearing investments.

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth the capitalization of the Company as of October 31, 1998 (i) on an actual basis and (ii) as adjusted to give effect to the issuance and sale of the Class A Common Stock by the Company and the application of the net proceeds therefrom. This table should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included elsewhere in this Prospectus.

	AT OCTOB	ER 31, 1998
	ACTUAL	AS ADJUSTED
		OUSANDS)
Long-term debt, including current maturities(1) Minority interest in consolidated subsidiary(2) Shareholders' equity: Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value per share; 10,000,000	\$ 30,520 14,892	
<pre>shares authorized, 200,000 designated as Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, none issued Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share; 30,000,000 shares authorized, 8,323,036 shares issued and outstanding,</pre>		
<pre>actual(3) Class A Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share; 30,000,000 shares authorized, 4,140,404 issued and outstanding, actual, and 7,100,404 issued and outstanding, as</pre>	83	83
adjusted(3)	41	71
Capital in excess of par value	34,474	90,144
Unrealized loss on investments	(1, 142)	
Retained earnings Less Note receivable from Employee Savings and	36,649	
Investment Plan	(2,498)	(2,498)
Total shareholders' equity	67,607	123,307
Total capitalization	\$113,019 ======	

- -----

- Excludes \$16 million of actual additional indebtedness outstanding as of December 31, 1998, which will be repaid with a portion of the proceeds from this offering.
- (2) Represents the 20% minority interest in the Company's Flight Support Group acquired by Lufthansa Technik.
- (3) Excludes (i) 2,765,932 shares of Common Stock and 1,401,793 shares of Class A Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding options which have a weighted average exercise price of \$6.98 and \$6.97 per share, respectively, and (ii) 41,190 shares of Common Stock and 187,955 shares of Class A Common Stock reserved for future issuance under the Company's existing stock option plans.

PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK AND DIVIDENDS

Commencing April 24, 1998, the Class A Common Stock began trading on AMEX under the symbol "HEI.A." On January 29, 1999, the Class A Common Stock and the Common Stock commenced trading on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbols "HEI.A" and "HEI," respectively, and both classes of stock ceased trading on AMEX. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices for the Class A Common Stock and the Common Stock as reported on AMEX and NYSE, as applicable, as well as the amount of cash dividends paid per share during such periods. Lufthansa Technik, as a 20% shareholder of our Flight Support Group, will be entitled to 20% of any dividends paid by our Flight Support Group.

In December 1996, the Company declared a 10% stock dividend and, in November 1997, declared a three-for-two stock split. In April 1998, the Company distributed a 50% stock dividend paid in shares of Class A Common Stock. The quarterly sales prices and cash dividend amounts have been retroactively adjusted for the stock split and stock dividends.

CLASS A COMMON STOCK

	HIGH	LOW	CASH DIVIDENDS PER SHARE
FISCAL 1998:			
Third Quarter (commencing April 24, 1998)	\$29.75	\$21.25	\$.025
Fourth Quarter	23.75	12.13	
First Quarter (through February 10, 1999)	\$24.13	\$19.50	\$.025

On February 10, 1999, the last reported sale price of the Class A Common Stock was \$21 5/16, and there were 1,143 holders of record of the Class A Common Stock.

COMMON STOCK

	HIGH	LOW	CASH DIVIDENDS PER SHARE
FISCAL 1997:			
First Quarter	\$11.72	\$ 6.88	\$.022
Second Quarter	12.00	9.89	
Third Quarter	11.22	9.33	.022
Fourth Quarter	17.56	10.56	
FISCAL 1998:			
First Quarter	\$19.25	\$13.78	\$.025
Second Quarter	33.50	19.20	
Third Quarter	33.75	23.06	.025
Fourth Quarter	25.63	15.94	
First Quarter (through February 10, 1999)	\$32.25	\$23.25	\$.025

On February 10, 1999, the last reported sale price of the Common Stock was 227/8, and there were 1,231 holders of record of the Common Stock.

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data as of and for the years ended October 31, 1994 through 1998 have been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company. The following data should be read in conjunction with "Selected Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Data," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included elsewhere in this Prospectus or incorporated herein by reference.

	YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31,				
	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
				SHARE DATA)	
OPERATING DATA:					
Net sales	\$ 19,212	\$ 25,613	\$ 34,565	\$ 63,674	\$ 95,351
Gross profit Selling, general and administrative expenses		8,116 6,405	12,169 7,657		36,104 17,140
Operating income Interest expense Income:	340 59	1,711 169	4,512 185	9,114 477	18,964 984
<pre>From continuing operations before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle From discontinued operations(1) From gain on sale of discontinued operations From cumulative effect on prior years of change in accounting principle</pre>	\$ 640 830 381	\$ 1,437 1,258 	\$ 3,665 963 5,264	\$ 7,019 	\$ 10,509
Net income		\$ 2,695	\$ 9,892	\$ 7,019	\$ 10,509
<pre>Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:(2) Basic Diluted PER SHARE DATA:(2) Income from continuing operations before cumulative</pre>		11,307 11,930	11,680 13,282	12,040 14,418	12,499 15,541
effect of change in accounting principle: Basic Diluted	\$.06 .06	\$.13 .12	\$.31 .28	\$.58 .49	\$.84 .68
Net income: Basic Diluted Cash dividends(2) BALANCE SHEET DATA (AT YEAR END):	.17 .16 .030	.24 .23 .032	.84 .75 .038	.58 .49 .045	.84 .68 .050
Working capital. Total assets. Long-term debt (including current portion). Minority interest in consolidated subsidiary. Shareholders' equity.	\$ 12,691 39,020 5,456 27,061	\$ 14,755 47,401 7,870 	\$ 25,248 61,836 6,516 41,488	\$ 45,131 88,639 10,800 3,273 59,446	\$ 40,587 133,061 30,520 14,892 67,607

(1) Represents income from the discontinued health care operations that were sold in fiscal 1996.

(2) Information has been adjusted to reflect three-for-two stock splits distributed in April 1996 and December 1997, 10% stock dividends paid in July 1995, February 1996, July 1996 and January 1997 and the 50% stock dividend, in shares of Class A Common Stock, paid in April 1998.

SELECTED PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following financial data for the Company for the fiscal year ended October 31, 1998 have been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company. The following financial data for McClain and Rogers-Dierks have been derived from the unaudited financial statements of McClain and Rogers-Dierks, respectively. The following unaudited pro forma financial data have been adjusted to give effect to (i) the Company's July 1998 acquisition of McClain and the Company's December 1998 acquisition of Rogers-Dierks (but not the Company's acquisition of Associated Composite, Inc., which was not significant), and (ii) certain pro forma adjustments to the historical financial statements as described in the notes below. Pro forma results are presented for comparative purposes only and are neither intended to be indicative of actual results had such transactions occurred as of such date, nor do they purport to indicate results which may be obtained in the future.

	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED OCTOBER 31, 1998	NINE MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 1998	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998		TWELVE MONTHS ENDED OCTOBER 31, 1998
	HEICO CORPORATION(1)	MCCLAIN INTERNATIONAL, INC.	ROGERS-DIERKS, INC.	PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS	PRO FORMA
		(IN THOU	JSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHAR	e data)	
Net sales	\$95,351 	\$9,696	\$7,374	\$	\$112,421
Operating costs and expenses:					
Cost of sales Selling, general and administrative	59,247	4,720	2,460	200(2)	66,627
expenses	17,140	1,759	1,727	(479) (3)	20,147
Total operating costs and					
expenses	76,387	6,479	4,187	(279)	86,774
Operating income Interest expense Interest and other	18,964 (984)	3,217	3,187 (6)	279 (2,225) (4)	25,647 (3,215)
income	2,062	93	16	(564)(5)	1,607
Income before income taxes and minority					
interest Income tax expense	20,042 6,914	3,310	3,197	(2,510) 1,549(6)	24,039 8,463
Income before minority					
interest Minority interest	13,128 2,619	3,310	3,197	(4,059) 819(7)	15,576 3,438
Net income	\$10,509	\$3,310	\$3,197	\$(4,878)	\$ 12,138
Net income per share:					
Basic	\$.84				\$.97
Diluted	\$.68				\$.78
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:					
Basic	12,499				12,499
Diluted	15,541 ======				15,541 ======

- -----

(1) Amounts include results for McClain for the three months ended October 31, 1998.

(2) Represents adjustment to conform the Rogers-Dierks amounts to the Company's inventory accounting policies. (3) Represents the amortization of the excess of costs over the fair value of net assets acquired and elimination of shareholders' compensation as follows:

	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED OCTOBER 31, 1998
MCCLAIN* Amortization of the excess of costs over the fair value of net assets acquired (over 30 years)** Elimination of shareholders' compensation and expenses	\$ 942 (1,355)
	(413)
ROGERS-DIERKS Amortization of the excess of costs over the fair value of net assets acquired (over 20 years)** Elimination of shareholders' compensation and expenses	\$ 685 (751)
	(66) \$ (479) ======

(4) Represents interest expense incurred at a rate of 6.75% on borrowings under the revolving credit facility as follows:

	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED OCTOBER 31, 1998
McClain* Rogers-Dierks	\$(1,266) (959)
Nogers Dierks	(555)
	\$(2,225)
	======

(5) Represents the elimination of investment income from cash used for the acquisitions and the elimination of interest income on assets not acquired as follows:

	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED OCTOBER 31, 1998	
MCCLAIN* Lost investment income on \$10.8 million at a rate of 5.65% at October 31, 1998 Elimination of interest income on assets not acquired	\$ (459) (93)	
ROGERS-DIERKS	(552)	
Elimination of interest income on assets not acquired	(12) \$ (564) =======	

(6) To adjust for the effects of income taxes on (a) the historical earnings of the acquisitions, all of which were S corporations prior to acquisition, as if they had been fully subject to federal and applicable state income taxes and (b) the effect of the pro forma adjustments.

	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED OCTOBER 31, 1998	
McClain* Rogers-Dierks	\$ 741 808	
	\$1,549 ======	

(7) Represents the incremental minority interest of Lufthansa Technik in the net income of McClain and Rogers-Dierks, respectively.

	TWELVE MONTHS ENDED OCTOBER 31, 1998	
McClain* Rogers-Dierks	\$ 444 375	
	\$ 819 =====	

^{- -----}

* Fiscal 1998 McClain pro forma adjustments are calculated for the nine-month period ended July 31, 1998.

** The costs of each acquisition have been allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values at the date of acquisition as determined by management. The allocation of the costs of acquisitions is preliminary while the Company obtains final information regarding the fair values of all assets acquired; however, management believes that any adjustments to the amounts allocated will not have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

OVERVIEW

Our Flight Support Group, which currently accounts for approximately 73% of our pro forma revenues, consists of the following seven operating subsidiaries:

NAME

DESCRIPTION OF PRINCIPAL OPERATIONS

Jet Avion Corporation	Design and manufacture of FAA-approved jet engine replacement parts
LPI Industries Corporation	Original equipment manufacturer subcontractor
Aircraft Technology, Inc	Repair and overhaul of jet engine combustion chambers and related parts
Northwings Accessories Corp	Repair and overhaul of jet engine and airframe components and accessories
McClain International, Inc	Design, manufacture and overhaul of FAA-approved jet engine replacement parts
Associated Composite, Inc	Repair and overhaul of aircraft fuselage structures
Rogers-Dierks, Inc	Design and manufacture of FAA-approved jet engine replacement parts

Our Ground Support Group, which currently accounts for approximately 27% of our pro forma revenues, consists of the following operating subsidiary:

NAME

DESCRIPTION OF PRINCIPAL OPERATIONS

Trilectron Industries, Inc..... Design and manufacture of aircraft ground support equipment

Our results of operations during the current and prior fiscal years have been affected by a number of significant transactions. As a result of the significant impact of these transactions, we do not believe that our results of operations are necessarily comparable on a period-to-period basis. This discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included or incorporated by reference herein. For further information regarding the acquisitions discussed below, see Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements. These acquisitions have been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and are included in the Company's results of operations from the date of acquisition.

As of December 4, 1998, through our Flight Support Group, we acquired Rogers-Dierks, Inc. ("Rogers-Dierks") for approximately \$14.1 million in cash and approximately \$1.1 million in deferred payments over the next two years, with additional consideration of up to approximately \$7.3 million payable in cash or shares of our Class A Common Stock.

On October 19, 1998, through our Flight Support Group, we acquired Associated Composite, Inc. ("Associated") for approximately \$1.3 million in cash.

On July 31, 1998, we completed the acquisition of McClain International, Inc. ("McClain") for approximately \$41.0 million in cash. The Company also acquired McClain's headquarters facility for an additional \$2.5 million.

On October 30, 1997, we entered into a strategic alliance with Lufthansa Technik AG ("Lufthansa Technik") whereby Lufthansa Technik agreed to invest approximately \$26.0 million in our Flight Support Group, including \$10.0 million paid at closing pursuant to a stock purchase agreement and approximately \$16.0 million to be paid to our Flight Support Group over three years pursuant to a research and development cooperation agreement, which will partially fund accelerated development of additional FAA-approved replacement parts for jet engines. As part of the strategic alliance, we sold 20% of the Flight Support Group. In connection with subsequent acquisitions, Lufthansa Technik invested an additional \$11.9 million to purchase its proportional 20% interest in the acquisitions. The funds received pursuant to the research and development cooperation agreement reduce research and development expenses in the period such expenses are incurred. In addition, Lufthansa Technik and our Flight Support Group have agreed to cooperate regarding technical services and marketing support for jet engine parts on a worldwide basis. For further information regarding the strategic alliance and sale of the 20% minority interest, see Note 2 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Effective September 1, 1997, the Company acquired Northwings Accessories Corp. ("Northwings"). In consideration of this acquisition, the Company paid approximately \$6.7 million in cash and 232,360 shares of the Company's Common Stock, having an aggregate fair value of approximately \$3.5 million.

Effective September 1, 1996, the Company acquired Trilectron Industries, Inc. ("Trilectron") for \$6.6 million in cash and the assumption of debt aggregating \$2.3 million.

In July 1996, the Company sold its wholly-owned healthcare subsidiary, MediTek Health Corporation ("MediTek") to U.S. Diagnostic Inc. The Company received \$13.8 million in cash and a \$10.0 million, 6 1/2% convertible promissory note. The sale of MediTek resulted in a fiscal 1996 gain of \$5.3 million, net of taxes. In September 1997, the Company sold the convertible note to an unrelated party for the stated par value of \$10.0 million plus accrued interest. For further information regarding the sale of MediTek, see Note 13 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company paid 10% stock dividends in July 1995, February 1996, July 1996, and January 1997. In addition, the Company distributed 3-for-2 stock splits in April 1996 and December 1997. In April 1998, the Company paid a 50% stock dividend in shares of Class A Common Stock. All net income per share, dividends per share and common stock outstanding information has been adjusted for all years presented in this Prospectus to give effect to the stock dividends and stock splits.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For the periods indicated, the following table sets forth net sales by product and the percentage of net sales represented by the respective items in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations.

	YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31,		
		1997	
	(DOLLAR	AMOUNTS IN I	HOUSANDS)
Net sales			
Flight Support Ground Support	\$32,240 2,325	\$41,522 22,152	\$65,412 29,939
	\$34,565	\$63,674	\$95,351
Net sales	======= 100.0%	100.0%	====== 100.0%
Gross profit	35.28	32.4%	37.9%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	22.18	18.1%	18.0%
Operating income	13.18	14.3%	19.9%
Interest expense	0.5%	0.8%	1.0%
Interest and other income	3.0%	2.7%	2.2%
Income tax expense	5.0%	5.2%	7.3%
Minority interest	%	·%	2.8%
Income from continuing operations	10.68	11.0%	11.0%

COMPARISON OF FISCAL 1998 TO FISCAL 1997

Net Sales

Net sales in fiscal 1998 totaled \$95.4 million, up 50% when compared to fiscal 1997 net sales of \$63.7 million.

The increase in fiscal 1998 sales reflects an increase of \$23.9 million (a 58% increase) to \$65.4 million from the Company's Flight Support products (which include repair and overhaul services). This increase includes incremental sales of Northwings (twelve months in fiscal 1998 versus two months in fiscal 1997) and McClain aggregating \$15.7 million, with the balance reflecting increased sales volumes of jet engine replacement parts to the Company's commercial airline industry customers. The net sales increase also reflects an increase of \$7.8 million (a 35% increase) to \$29.9 million in revenues from the Company's Ground Support products as well as sales of new products.

Gross Profits and Operating Expenses

The Company's gross profit margins averaged 37.9% in fiscal 1998 as compared to 32.4% in fiscal 1997. This increase reflects improvements in gross margins in both the Flight Support and Ground Support operations. The improvement in gross profit margins in the Flight Support Group reflects an increase resulting from the reimbursement of research and development costs from Lufthansa Technik and higher gross profit margins for Northwings. Fiscal 1998 and 1997 cost of sales amounts include approximately \$900,000 and \$3.1 million, respectively, of new product research and development expenses. The expenses for fiscal 1998 are net of \$3.5 million received from Lufthansa Technik. The improved gross margins in the Ground Support operations resulted principally from manufacturing cost efficiencies and increased sales of products with higher profit margins.

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses were \$17.1 million in fiscal 1998 and \$11.5 million in fiscal 1997. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A expenses remained comparable at 18.0% in fiscal 1998 and 18.1% in fiscal 1997, despite higher corporate expenses and the inclusion of a full year of Northwings' SG&A expenses, reflecting continuing efforts to control costs while increasing revenues. As a result of the acquisitions of McClain, Associated and Rogers-Dierks, SG&A expenses will include additional goodwill amortization of approximately \$1.8 million annually.

Operating Income

Operating income increased \$9.9 million to \$19.0 million (a 108% increase) in fiscal 1998 from \$9.1 million in fiscal 1997. The improvement in operating income was due primarily to increases in sales and gross margins of the Flight Support Group and Ground Support operations discussed above as well as the acquisitions of Northwings and McClain.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased \$507,000 to \$984,000 from fiscal 1997 to fiscal 1998. The increase was principally due to increased outstanding debt balances during the period related to borrowings on the Company's \$120 million credit facility, used principally to finance the Company's acquisitions.

Interest and Other Income

Interest and other income increased \$340,000 to \$2.1 million from fiscal 1997 to fiscal 1998 due principally to the investment of cash received from the sale of a 20% interest in the Flight Support Group to Lufthansa Technik in October 1997.

Income Tax Expense

The Company's effective tax rate increased 2.3 percentage points to 34.5% in fiscal 1998 from 32.2% in fiscal 1997 due to a decrease in benefits from export sales and a reduction in tax-free investments. For a detailed analysis of the provisions for income taxes, see Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Minority interest in fiscal 1998 represents the aforementioned 20% minority interest held by Lufthansa Technik.

Income from Continuing Operations

The Company's income from continuing operations totaled \$10.5 million, or \$.68 per share (diluted), in fiscal 1998, improving 50% (39% per diluted share) from income from continuing operations of \$7.0 million, or \$.49 per share (diluted), in fiscal 1997.

The improvement in income from continuing operations in fiscal 1998 over fiscal 1997 is primarily attributable to the increased sales volumes and improved profit margins within operating entities discussed above as well as the acquisitions of Northwings and McClain, offset by the minority interest in earnings of the Flight Support Group.

COMPARISON OF FISCAL 1997 TO FISCAL 1996

Net Sales

Net sales in fiscal 1997 totaled 63.7 million, up 84% when compared to fiscal 1996 net sales of 34.6 million.

The increase in fiscal 1997 sales reflects an increase of \$9.3 million (a 29% increase) to \$41.5 million in revenues from the Company's Flight Support products, including \$2.2 million in revenues representing Northwings' sales for the two months since its acquisition; and an increase of \$19.8 million to \$22.1 million in revenues from the Company's Ground Support products (twelve months of Trilectron's sales for fiscal 1997 compared to two months in fiscal 1996).

The increases in sales of Flight Support products in fiscal 1997, exclusive of sales of Northwings, were principally due to increased sales volumes of jet engine replacement parts to the Company's commercial airline industry customers.

Gross Profit and Operating Expenses

The Company's gross profit margins averaged 32.4% in fiscal 1997 as compared to 35.2% in fiscal 1996. These margins reflect the inclusion of Ground Support operations beginning in the fourth quarter of fiscal 1996, which generally carry lower profit margins than those of the Company's Flight Support operations, partially offset by improvement in gross margins in the Company's Flight Support operations. The improvement in gross profit margins in the Flight Support Group reflects volume increases in sales of higher gross profit margin products and manufacturing cost efficiencies.

SG&A expenses were \$11.5 million in fiscal 1997 and \$7.7 million in fiscal 1996. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A expenses declined from 22.1% in fiscal 1996 to 18.1% in fiscal 1997, reflecting continuing efforts to control costs while increasing revenues. The \$3.9 million increase from fiscal 1996 to fiscal 1997 is due principally to increased selling expenses of the Flight Support Group and a full year of SG&A expenses of Trilectron since acquisition.

Operating Income

Operating income increased to \$9.1 million (a 102% increase) in fiscal 1997 from \$4.5 million in fiscal 1996. The improvement in operating income was due primarily to the increases in sales and gross margins of the Flight Support Group and Trilectron discussed above.

29

Interest expense increased \$292,000 to \$447,000 from fiscal 1996 to fiscal 1997. The increase was principally due to increases in long-term debt related to equipment financing and industrial development revenue bonds.

Interest and Other Income

Interest and other income in fiscal 1997 increased \$664,000 to \$1,722,000 over fiscal 1996 due principally to interest income on the convertible note received from the sale of MediTek, as well as the interest income received on the unexpended proceeds of industrial development revenue bonds.

Income Tax Expense

The Company's effective tax rate of 32.2% in fiscal 1997 was comparable with the 31.9% rate in fiscal 1996. For a detailed analysis of the provisions for income taxes, see Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Income from Continuing Operations

The Company's income from continuing operations totaled \$7.0 million, or \$.49 per share (diluted), in fiscal 1997, improving 92% (75% per diluted share) from income from continuing operations of \$3.7 million, or \$.28 per share (diluted), in fiscal 1996.

The improvement in income from continuing operations in fiscal 1997 over fiscal 1996 is primarily attributable to the increased sales volumes and improved profit margins within operating entities discussed above.

INFLATION

The Company has generally experienced increases in its costs of labor, materials and services consistent with overall rates of inflation. The impact of such increases on the Company's income from continuing operations has been generally minimized by efforts to lower costs through manufacturing efficiencies and cost reductions.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company generates cash primarily from operating activities and financing activities, including borrowings under long-term credit agreements and the issuance of industrial development revenue bonds. In addition, in fiscal 1997 and 1996, the Company generated cash from the sale of its health care operations.

Principal uses of cash by the Company will include payments of interest and principal on debt, capital expenditures, increases in working capital and acquisitions.

The Company believes that operating cash flow and available borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility will be sufficient to fund cash requirements for the foreseeable future.

Operating Activities

The Company's cash flow from operations aggregated \$12.9 million over the last three years, including \$9.5 million in fiscal 1998 principally reflecting an increase in net income of \$3.5 million and an increase in trade payables and other current liabilities associated with higher levels of operations and deferred reimbursement of research and development costs from Lufthansa Technik aggregating \$4.7 million. Net cash provided by operations of \$1.7 million in fiscal 1996.

Investing Activities

The principal cash used in investing activities the past three years was the cash used in the acquisition of businesses totaling \$58.9 million, including \$45.6 million in fiscal 1998 to acquire McClain and Associated, \$6.7 million in fiscal 1997 to acquire Northwings and \$6.6 million in fiscal 1996 to acquire Trilectron. Purchases of property, plant and equipment totaled \$12.9 million, including \$6.2 million in fiscal 1998 principally purchased by the Ground Support Group to expand into a new manufacturing facility and improve its product development and manufacturing capabilities. The Company also purchased short-term investments of \$3.9 million in fiscal 1998. During the past three fiscal years, the Company's principal cash proceeds from investing activities were \$13.5 million in fiscal 1996 and \$10.0 million in fiscal 1997 from the sale of the health care operations.

Financing Activities

The Company's principal financing activities during the same three-year period included proceeds of long-term debt of \$32.1 million including \$25.0 million from a new \$120 million revolving credit facility in fiscal 1998 primarily to fund business acquisitions and \$5.7 million in reimbursements for qualified expenditures related to the industrial development revenue bonds. In addition, the Company received \$18.7 million from Lufthansa Technik including \$9.7 million in fiscal 1997 from the sale of a 20% minority interest in the Flight Support Group and \$9.0 million in fiscal 1998 representing additional minority interest investments in businesses acquired by the Company. The Company also received \$3.6 million from the exercise of stock options during the three-year period. The Company used an aggregate of \$9.7 million for payments on short-term debt, long-term debt and capital leases, including \$5.0 million in fiscal 1998 to reduce the outstanding balance under the revolving credit facility. In addition, the Company used \$2.0 million in fiscal 1998 to repurchase common stock.

In July 1998, the Company entered into a \$120 million revolving credit facility with a bank syndicate, which contains both revolving credit and term loan features. The credit facility may be used for working capital and general corporate needs of the Company and to finance acquisitions (generally not in excess of \$25.0 million for any single acquisition nor in excess of an aggregate of \$25.0 million for acquisitions during any four fiscal guarter period without the requisite approval of the bank syndicate). Advances under the credit facility accrue interest, at the Company's option, at a premium (based on the Company's ratio of total funded debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) over the LIBOR rate or the higher of the prime lending rate and the Federal Funds Rate. The Company is required to maintain certain financial covenants, including minimum net worth, limitations on capital expenditures (excluding expenditures for the acquisition of businesses) and limitations on additional indebtedness. The credit facility matures in July 2001 unless extended by the bank syndicate. The Company also has available unexpended industrial development revenue bond proceeds of \$2.3 million available for future gualified expenditures. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding credit facilities.

IMPACT OF THE YEAR 2000

Many older computer software programs refer to years in terms of their final two digits only. Such programs may interpret the year 2000 to mean the year 1900 instead. If not corrected, those programs could cause date-related transaction failures.

We developed a compliance assurance process to address this concern. A project team has performed a detailed assessment of all internal computer systems and, as discussed below, is developing and implementing plans to correct the problems. We expect these projects to be successfully completed during 1999.

Year 2000 problems could affect our research and development, production, distribution, financial, administrative and communication operations. Systems critical to our business which have been identified as non-Year 2000 compliant are either being replaced or corrected through programming modifications. In addition, the project team is looking at Year 2000 readiness from other aspects of our business, including customer order-taking, manufacturing, raw materials supply and plant process equipment. Our goal is to have our remediated and replaced systems operational by June 1999 to allow time for testing and verification. In addition to our in-house efforts, we have asked vendors, major customers, service suppliers, communications providers and banks whose systems failures potentially could have a significant impact on our operations to verify their Year 2000 readiness.

As part of our compliance process we are developing a contingency plan for those areas that are critical to the Company's business. These plans will be designed to mitigate serious disruptions to our business flow beyond the end of 1999, and will operate independently of our external providers' Year 2000 compliance. The major drive for contingency planning will be in the first half of 1999, with the expectation that our business groups will have plans in place by June 1999. Based on our current plans and efforts to date, we do not anticipate that Year 2000 problems will have a material effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

External and internal costs specifically associated with modifying internal use software for Year 2000 compliance are expensed as incurred. To date, we have spent less than \$100,000 on this project. Costs to be incurred in the remainder of 1999 to fix Year 2000 problems are estimated at less than \$100,000. Such costs do not include normal system upgrades and replacements. We do not expect the costs relating to Year 2000 remediation to have a material effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

The above expectations are subject to uncertainties. For example, if we are unsuccessful in identifying or fixing all Year 2000 problems in our critical operations, or if we are affected by the inability of suppliers or major customers to continue operations due to such a problem, our results of operations or financial condition could be materially impacted.

The total costs that we incur in connection with the Year 2000 problems will be influenced by our ability to successfully identify Year 2000 systems' flaws, the nature and amount of programming required to fix the affected programs, the related labor and/or consulting costs for such remediation, and the ability of third parties with whom we have business relationships to successfully address their own Year 2000 concerns. These and other unforeseen factors could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial conditions.

NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In June 1997, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income." SFAS No. 130 establishes standards for reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components in a full set of general purpose financial statements. SFAS No. 130 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997. Adoption of this statement will not impact the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, and any effect will be limited to the form and content of its disclosures. The Company intends to adopt the provisions of this statement in fiscal 1999.

In June 1997, the FASB issued SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information." SFAS No. 131 establishes standards for the way that public companies report selected information about operating segments in annual financial statements and requires that those companies report selected information about segments in interim financial reports issued to shareholders. It also establishes standards for related disclosures about products and services, geographic areas, and major customers. SFAS No. 131 is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997, with earlier application permitted. Adoption of this statement will not impact the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, and any effect will be limited to the form and content of its disclosures. The Company intends to adopt the provisions of this statement in fiscal 1999.

In February 1998, the FASB issued SFAS No. 132, "Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits." SFAS 132 standardizes the disclosure requirements of SFAS 87, 88 and 106 to the extent practicable and recommends a parallel format for presenting information about pensions

and other postretirement benefits. SFAS 132 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997. Adoption of this statement will not impact the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, and any effect will be limited to the form and content of its disclosures. The Company intends to adopt the provisions of this statement in fiscal 1999.

BUSINESS

INDUSTRY OVERVIEW

GENERAL

HEICO Corporation ("HEICO" or the "Company") operates in two distinct but related aerospace markets: the jet engine aircraft parts and service market and the aircraft ground support equipment market.

The Jet Engine Parts Manufacturing and Service Market. Jet engine maintenance is a highly regulated, ongoing process that typically accounts for 6% of an aircraft's total operating costs. FAA regulations require "cradle-to-grave" documentation of an engine's service life, as well as of the individual parts that comprise the engine. Maintenance schedules call for the periodic inspection, testing and repair of critical parts, as well as periodic overhauls (a complete disassembly, refurbishment and testing process) of the entire engine. The maintenance schedule for any given engine depends on the number of flight hours registered and the engine's take-off and landing cycle.

Maintenance activity is accomplished through three principal channels -- (i) internal airline maintenance, (ii) original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs"), and (iii) companies that overhaul aircraft ("airmotives"). Based on industry sources, management believes that the airlines themselves will continue to perform the majority of engine maintenance, but that OEMs and other airmotives will gain market share as airlines continue to rationalize costs and outsource non-revenue producing activities such as maintenance.

We believe that the annual market for jet engine repair, refurbishment and overhaul is approximately \$7.5 billion, of which approximately \$4 billion reflects annual sales of jet engine replacement parts. We design, manufacture and sell jet engine replacement parts in direct competition with the leading industry OEMs, principally Pratt & Whitney and General Electric, and, to a lesser extent, with a number of smaller, independent parts distributors. The Flight Support Group's repair and overhaul services compete with participants in all three of the industry's maintenance channels.

The Ground Service Equipment Market. Aircraft require a wide array of mobile and fixed ground support equipment ("GSE") to support their operation. According to a 1996 study conducted by GSE Today, a leading ground support industry publication, the 1995 annual sales of the worldwide commercial GSE market were approximately \$1.7 billion and that market was expected to grow at approximately 7% annually over the next five years. GSE is sold to support new aircraft and to replace existing equipment. GSE of the type sold by us is replaced every seven to ten years. GSE industry growth is directly related to the overall performance of the commercial aviation industry. HEICO believes that the GSE market is highly fragmented, with a significant number of participants providing only one or two products.

INDUSTRY TRENDS

- -----

We expect to capitalize on a number of trends within the aviation industry, including the following:

- Growth in Aircraft Traffic and Fleet. Continued growth in air transportation and aircraft production is expected to increase the demand for engine component purchases and repairs, as well as sales of GSE. According to Boeing's 1998 Market Outlook*, it is estimated that (i) world air travel will grow 5.0% per year over the next ten years, (ii) the number of passenger and freight aircraft in service will increase 44.1% by 2007, (iii) the worldwide jet fleet is expected to more than double from 12,300 airplanes at the end of 1997 to 26,200 airplanes by 2017, and (iv) the worldwide fleet of cargo jet aircraft will increase from 1,434 airplanes in 1997 to 2,706 airplanes by 2017. Further, we believe that the number of older planes in service is continuing to increase, and these older planes represent the primary market for jet engine replacement parts and repair and overhaul services. Moreover, because some parts must be replaced after a specified number of flight

^{*} The information in the Boeing Market Outlook was compiled from many sources. Data presented as historical in such report should be considered estimates based on Boeing analyses.

hours or cycles, demand in the aftermarket segments served by us is more predictable and less cyclical than the market for new aircraft deliveries.

- Increased Outsourcing of Commercial Engine Services. Airlines have come under increasing pressure during the last decade to reduce the costs associated with providing air transportation services. While several of the expenditures required to operate an airline are beyond the direct control of airline operators (e.g., the price of fuel and labor costs), the continuing pressure to reduce both the operating and capital costs associated with jet engine service should continue to increase the market share of OEMs and independents such as HEICO. We believe that as a result of this outsourcing trend, the volume of business handled by OEMs and independent service providers, such as HEICO, in the jet engine maintenance, repair and overhaul industry should continue to grow.
- Consolidation of the Service and Supply Chain. In order to reduce purchasing costs and streamline purchasing decisions, airline purchasing departments have been reducing the number of their "approved" suppliers. We believe that the reductions in the supplier base utilized by airline purchasing departments will continue to cause a consolidation in both the jet engine repair and GSE markets for the foreseeable future. We further believe that only those participants with adequate capital market presence and a reputation for quality will continue to be selected as approved suppliers and survive the consolidation. HEICO believes that it is well positioned to take advantage of this consolidation trend.
- Increased Maintenance and Safety Requirements. Under FAA and similar foreign regulations and guidelines established by OEMs and aircraft operators, when an aircraft component fails to meet performance parameters or after logging a prescribed number of flight hours, the aircraft component must be brought to an FAA or similarly foreign-certified facility for various types of designated service or replacement. In addition, aircraft components require regular maintenance and inspection and replacement of "life-limited" components. We believe that the trend toward more stringent maintenance requirements and more frequent maintenance and overhaul has increased the size of the market for the replacement or repair of jet engine components. We believe that, because of our established ability to satisfy the FAA's Parts Manufacturer Approval ("PMA") process and our long-standing emphasis on quality control, HEICO will benefit from the evolving maintenance and safety standards.

THE COMPANY

HEICO believes it is the world's largest manufacturer of FAA-approved jet engine replacement parts, other than the OEMs and their subcontractors. It is also a leading manufacturer of ground support equipment to the airline and defense industries. Through our Flight Support Group, we use proprietary technology to design, manufacture and sell jet engine replacement parts for sale at lower prices than those manufactured by OEMs. These parts are approved by the FAA and are the functional equivalent of parts sold by OEMs. In addition, our Flight Support Group repairs, refurbishes and overhauls jet engine and aircraft components for domestic and foreign commercial air carriers and aircraft repair companies. In fiscal 1998, the Flight Support Group accounted for 73% of pro forma revenues. Through our Ground Support Group, we manufacture various types of GSE, including electrical power, air start, air conditioning and heating units, as well as some electronic equipment for commercial airlines and military agencies. In fiscal 1998, the Ground Support Group accounted for 27% of pro forma revenues. We currently sell our products to every major U.S. airline, as well as a growing number of airlines throughout the world.

We have continuously operated in the aerospace industry for 38 years. Since assuming control in 1990, current management has achieved significant sales and profit growth through expanded product offerings, an expanded customer base, increased research and development expenditures, and the completion of a number of acquisitions. As a result of internal growth and acquisitions, our revenues have grown from \$19.2 million in fiscal 1994 to pro forma revenues of \$112.4 million in fiscal 1998, a compound annual growth rate of 56%. During the same period, net income increased from \$1.9 million to pro forma net income of \$12.1 million, a compound annual growth rate of 59%.

In October 1997, we formed a strategic alliance with Lufthansa Technik, the technical services subsidiary of Lufthansa German Airlines AG. Lufthansa Technik is the world's largest independent provider of engineering and maintenance services for aircraft and aircraft engines and supports over 200 airlines, governments and other customers. As part of the transaction, Lufthansa Technik acquired a 20% minority interest in our Flight Support Group, investing \$29 million to date and committing to invest an additional \$9 million over the next two years. This includes direct equity investments and the funding of specific research and development projects. In connection with subsequent acquisitions by our Flight Support Group, Lufthansa Technik invested additional amounts pursuant to its option to maintain a 20% equity interest. This strategic alliance should enable us to expand domestically and internationally by enhancing our ability to (i) identify key jet engine replacement parts with significant profit potential by utilizing Lufthansa Technik's extensive operating data on engine parts, (ii) introduce those parts throughout the world in an efficient manner due to Lufthansa Technik's testing and diagnostic resources, and (iii) broaden our customer base by capitalizing on Lufthansa Technik's established relationships and alliances within the airline industry.

The Canaan Group, an independent management consulting firm specializing in the aviation and aerospace industries, estimated the 1998 worldwide annual sales for jet engine repair, refurbishment and overhaul services to be approximately \$7.5 billion, of which approximately \$4 billion represented annual sales of jet engine replacement parts. While we currently supply less than 2% of the market for jet engine new replacement parts, we have been adding new products at a rapid rate. According to the Canaan Group, the jet engine replacement parts market is expected to grow at approximately 4-5% over the next three years. Historically, the three principal jet engine OEMs, Pratt & Whitney, General Electric (including CFM International) and Rolls Royce, have been the sole source for substantially all replacement parts. We believe that, based on our competitive pricing, reputation for high quality, short lead time requirements, strong relationships with domestic and foreign commercial air carriers and airmotives (companies that overhaul aircraft), relationship with Lufthansa Technik and successful track record of receiving PMAs from the FAA, we are uniquely positioned to continue to increase our product lines and gain market share.

According to a 1996 study conducted by GSE TODAY, a leading ground support industry publication, the 1995 annual sales of the worldwide commercial GSE market were approximately \$1.7 billion and that market was expected to grow at approximately 7% annually over the next five years. We currently supply less than 2% of this market. We believe that the GSE market is highly fragmented, with a significant number of participants supplying only one or two types of equipment. We believe that our growth in the GSE market will be driven by our ability to differentiate our product offerings with more technologically advanced and value-added products and services, as well as our ability to acquire complementary businesses.

Jet engine maintenance is a highly regulated, ongoing process that typically accounts for approximately 6% of an aircraft's total operating costs. FAA regulations require "cradle-to-grave" documentation of an engine's service life, as well as the individual parts that comprise the engine. We utilize sophisticated computer aided design technologies, advanced engineering, proprietary design and manufacturing capabilities, and our established credibility with the FAA to obtain PMAs from the FAA, allowing us to produce parts which are the functional equivalent of those available from the OEM. We believe that our sophisticated and proprietary design capabilities and experience with the PMA process create a significant barrier to entry for others.

We believe that there are several favorable industry trends in the aviation industry that will contribute to the growth in the markets for jet engine replacement parts and GSE products, including: (i) expected strong growth in aircraft traffic and fleet size; (ii) an increase in the number of older aircraft in service; (iii) increased FAA regulations and maintenance and safety requirements that require repair or overhaul

of engine and airframe components; and (iv) consolidation of the service and supply chain in the aircraft industry generally.

We believe that replacement jet engine products and services are less susceptible than new aircraft purchases to economic cycles of the airline industry because FAA regulations require the regular replacement of jet engine parts. In our experience, demand for replacement jet engine parts typically commences four to seven years after an aircraft is first put into service. Also, many airlines tend to replace parts more frequently than required by the FAA to ensure optimal engine performance and the efficiency of their aircraft. We believe that we are different from most aerospace and defense suppliers because reductions in new aircraft orders should not adversely affect our business. Our business mostly serves companies that operate existing aircraft, not companies that build aircraft. Airline companies are increasingly cost conscious, especially during economic down-cycles, which prompts them to seek more cost effective alternatives to replacement parts manufactured by OEMs and prompts them to overhaul accessory components and fuselage structures in greater numbers in order to reduce operating costs. Most of the products sold by our Ground Support Group are not sold specifically to furnish new commercial aircraft, but are more frequently sold to replace existing older equipment, to retrofit airport gates or to service other aerospace applications.

GROWTH STRATEGY

We intend to capitalize on our reputation for assured quality, proprietary research and development and manufacturing capabilities, customer relationships, alliance with Lufthansa Technik, as well as favorable industry trends to continue to achieve profitable growth utilizing the following specific strategies:

- Expand Jet Engine Replacement Parts Product Lines. We intend to broaden our current jet engine replacement parts product lines through the development and receipt of additional PMAs from the FAA. Since 1991, we have added approximately 200 new PMA parts through internal development and 160 through acquisitions. We currently supply over 700 parts for Pratt & Whitney JT3D, JT8D, JT9D, PW2000 and PW4000 and CFM International CFM56 engines. We intend to increase the number of jet engine parts we offer on most of these engines as well as expand into new engine types. We select the jet engine replacement parts to design and manufacture through a process which analyzes industry information to determine which jet engine replacement parts are expected to generate the greatest profitability. Most jet engine replacement parts selected are complex, high value-added and require specific technical expertise. As part of this strategy, we have increased our research and development expenditures from \$300,000 in fiscal 1991 to approximately \$4.4 million in fiscal 1998. We believe that our sophisticated proprietary design technologies, advanced engineering and manufacturing capabilities and our established credibility with the FAA expedites the process of obtaining PMAs.
- Expand Ground Support Equipment Product Lines. Since entering the GSE industry in fiscal 1996, we have aggressively expanded our GSE lines with new value-added and technologically advanced products. Over the past two years, we have added 16 new GSE products. These offerings include a new range of aircraft ground air-conditioning systems, an advanced electronic power supply system replacing existing technology for use with the International Space Station, a ground cooling system for the new F-22 Raptor fighter aircraft and a new commercial continuous-flow pneumatic airstart system. In addition, our Ground Support Group continually redesigns its existing product offerings to reflect changes in technology and differentiate its products from those of its competitors. In November 1998, our Ground Support Group introduced a ground aircraft heating system which has met with strong initial demand. In order to facilitate these new product lines, our Ground Support Group has dramatically improved its production capabilities by implementing a flow line-based manufacturing protocol and adding a new state-of-the-art, 113,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Palmetto, Florida.
- Expand Overhaul and Repair Business. Our Flight Support Group has also pursued expansion of its FAA-authorized overhaul and repair business. Northwings' revenues increased 48% from

- approximately \$10 million in the twelve months prior to its acquisition to \$14.8 million in fiscal 1998. This growth resulted from the addition of new repair and overhaul services, as well as increased production capability and marketing efforts through an increase in personnel. Northwings' historical customer base has been limited to small passenger airlines and cargo airlines. We are seeking to expand Northwings' customer base with these types of customers, as well as add larger commercial airline customers. This strategy also applies to the fuselage structures repair business acquired in October 1998.
- Pursue Acquisitions of Complementary Businesses. A key element of our strategy involves growth through acquisitions in both the Flight and Ground Support Group businesses. In connection with our acquisitions, we seek to identify cost savings and production efficiencies, increase research and development and marketing expenditures and improve customer service. Historically, through application of this strategy, we have achieved significant growth in revenues and product offerings while improving overall profitability. Our Flight Support Group, in December 1998, acquired Rogers-Dierks, and in July 1998 acquired McClain, both of which are designers and manufacturers of FAA PMA jet engine replacement parts. In September 1997, we acquired Northwings, an FAA authorized overhaul and repair facility, and, in October 1998, Associated, a Miami, Florida-based aircraft fuselage structure repair and overhaul business, to complement our Flight Support Group.
- Expand Internationally. In fiscal 1998, approximately 23% of our revenues were derived from sales outside of the United States. Our strategy is to increase our international sales, both in the jet engine replacement parts and ground support equipment businesses, utilizing our relationship with Lufthansa Technik to identify new customers throughout the world. We intend to leverage Lufthansa Technik's established industry presence and participation in alliances, such as the Star Alliance which is currently comprised of six major airlines, to broaden our international exposure and develop relationships which, we believe, will lead to increased sales of our products internationally.

FLIGHT SUPPORT GROUP

Our Flight Support Group designs, engineers, manufactures, repairs and/or overhauls jet engine parts and components such as combustion chambers, gas flow transition ducts and various other engine and air frame parts. We also manufacture specialty aviation and defense components as a subcontractor. We serve a broad spectrum of the aviation industry, including (i) commercial airlines and air cargo couriers, (ii) OEMs, (iii) repair and overhaul facilities, and (iv) the U.S. government.

Jet engine replacement parts can be categorized by their ongoing ability to be repaired and returned to service. The general categories (in all of which we participate) are as follows: (i) rotable; (ii) repairable; and (iii) expendable. A rotable is a part which is removed periodically as dictated by an operator's maintenance procedures or on an as needed basis and is typically repaired or overhauled and re-used an indefinite number of times. An important subset of rotables is "life limited" parts. A life limited rotable has a designated number of allowable flight hours and/or cycles (one take-off and landing generally constitutes one cycle) after which it is rendered unusable. A repairable is similar to a rotable except that it can only be repaired a limited number of times before it must be discarded. An expendable is generally a part which is used and not thereafter repaired for further use.

Aircraft engine replacement parts are classified within the industry as (i) factory-new, (ii) new surplus, (iii) overhauled, (iv) serviceable, and (v) as removed. A factory-new or new surplus part is one that has never been installed or used. Factory-new parts are purchased from FAA-approved manufacturers (such as HEICO or OEMs) or their authorized distributors. New surplus parts are purchased from excess stock of airlines, repair facilities or other redistributors. An overhauled part has been completely repaired and inspected by a licensed repair facility (such as ours). An aircraft spare part is classified repairable if it can be repaired by a licensed repair facility under applicable regulations. A part may also be classified repairable if it can be can be removed by the operator from an aircraft or engine while operating under an approved maintenance program and is airworthy and meets any manufacturer or time and cycle restrictions applicable to the part. A factory-new, new surplus, overhauled or serviceable part designation indicates that the part can be immediately utilized on an aircraft. A part in "as removed" condition requires inspection

36

and possibly functional testing, repair or overhaul by a licensed facility prior to being returned to service in an aircraft.

Factory-New Jet Engine Replacement Parts. Our principal business is the research and development, design, manufacture and sale of FAA-approved jet engine replacement parts that are sold to domestic and foreign commercial air carriers and aircraft repair and overhaul companies. Our principal competitor is Pratt & Whitney, a division of United Technologies Corporation ("UTC"). The Flight Support Group's factory-new jet engine replacement parts include combustion chambers and various other jet engine replacement parts. A key element of our growth strategy is the continued design and development of an increasing number of PMA replacement parts in order to further penetrate our existing customer base and obtain new customers. We select the jet engine replacement parts to design and manufacture through a selection process which analyzes industry information to determine which jet engine replacement parts are expected to generate the greatest profitability. As part of Lufthansa Technik's investment in the Flight Support Group, Lufthansa Technik will have the right to select 50% of the engine parts for which we will seek PMAs, provided that such parts are technologically and economically feasible and substantially comparable with the profitability of our other PMA parts.

The following table sets forth (i) the lines of engines for which we provide jet engine replacement parts and (ii) the approximate number of such engines currently in service as estimated by us. Although we expect that our strategic alliance with Lufthansa Technik will broaden our product lines, most of our current PMA parts are for Pratt & Whitney engines, with a substantial majority for the JT&D. See "Risk Factors -- Dependence on JT&D Aircraft Engine Aftermarket."

		NUMBER	
OEM	LINES	IN SERVICE	PRINCIPAL ENGINE APPLICATION
PRATT & WHITNEY	JT8D	9,500	Boeing 727 and 737 (100 and 200 series)
			McDonnell Douglas DC-9 and MD-80
	JT9D	2,000	Boeing 747 (100, 200 and 300
			series) and 767 (200 series)
			Airbus A300 and A310
			McDonnell Douglas DC-10
	PW2000	700	Boeing 757
	PW4000	1,800	Boeing 747-400, 767-300 and 777
			Airbus A300, A310 and A330
			McDonnell Douglas MD-11
CFM INTERNATIONAL (a joint venture of General Electric and SNECMA)	CFM56	6,600	Boeing 737 (300, 400, 500, 700, 800 and 900 series)
			Airbus A320 and A340-200
GENERAL ELECTRIC	CF6	4,200	Boeing 747 and 767
			Airbus A300, A310 and A330
			McDonnell Douglas MD-11

Repair and Overhaul Services. We provide jet engine replacement parts repair and overhaul services for the Pratt & Whitney JT8D, JT3D, JT9D, PW2000 and PW4000, General Electric CF6 and the CFM International CFM56 engines. Our repair and overhaul operations require a high level of expertise, advanced technology and sophisticated equipment. The repair and overhaul services offered by us include the repair, refurbishment and overhaul of numerous accessories and parts mounted on gas turbine engines, aircraft wings and frames or fuselages. Engine accessories include fuel pumps, generators and fuel controls. Parts include pneumatic valves, starters and actuators, turbo compressors and constant speed drives, hydraulic pumps, valves and actuators, electro-mechanical equipment and auxiliary power unit accessories. We continually evaluate new engine lines, models and derivatives to determine whether the potential demand for overhaul services justifies the expenditures required for inventory and modifications to tooling and equipment. We believe that our September 1997 acquisition of Northwings and October 1998 acquisition of Associated will provide us with a well-established platform for additional growth in the repair and overhaul sector of the aviation industry.

Subcontracting for OEMs/Manufacture of Specialty Aircraft/Defense Related Parts. We also derive revenue from the sale of specialty components as a subcontractor for OEMs and the U.S. government.

GROUND SUPPORT GROUP

We currently serve the commercial and military GSE markets through the manufacture of electrical ground power units, air start units, and air conditioning and heating units that are sold to both domestic and foreign commercial and military customers. Our Ground Support Group also manufactures specialty military electronics such as shipboard power supplies and power converters. Because military and commercial aircraft vary so widely by size and manufacturer, unique equipment is often required for each distinct air frame. Military aircraft require particularly unique equipment arrangements that necessitate custom manufacturing. Examples of our GSE products include a sophisticated cooling system for the Air Force's new F-22 fighter aircraft and a combination ground power and air conditioning unit for the F-16 aircraft.

MANUFACTURING AND QUALITY CONTROL

Our manufacturing operations involve a high level of technical expertise and vertical integration, including computer numerical control ("CNC") machining, complex sheet metal fabrication, vacuum heat treating, plasma spraying and laser cutting. We also perform all of the design and engineering for our products. Specific components of the process include:

- Research and Development. Our research and development department uses state-of-the-art equipment such as a scanning electron microscope, CAD/CAM/CAE workstations and finite element analysis and thermal testing software to design and engineer components, as well as to ensure accurate data transfer between our new product development and manufacturing departments. Our engineers are recruited from OEMs and other aerospace industry participants in a variety of disciplines, including aerodynamics, heat transfer, manufacturing, materials and structures. See "-- FAA Approvals and Product Design."
- Machining and Fabrication. Our CNC machining capabilities provide cost advantages and dimensional repeatability with a variety of aerospace materials. Our lathes are frequently equipped with touch probes to perform critical in-process evaluations and automatically adjust machining parameters. Fabrication capabilities include custom-designed machines that automatically position and spot, fusion and flash weld, mechanical and hydraulic presses, and wire, as well as conventional, electrical discharge machining.
- Special Processes. We believe that our heat treatment, brazing, plasma spraying and other in-house special process capabilities reduce lead times and allow us to better control the quality of our products. For example, our robotic systems can apply thermal barrier and heat resistant coatings to parts ranging from 0.25 inches to 60 inches in dimension.
- Quality Control. We incur significant expenses to maintain the most stringent quality control of our products and services. In addition to domestic and foreign governmental regulations, OEMs, commercial airlines and other customers require that we satisfy certain requirements relating to the quality of our products and services. We perform testing and certification procedures on all of the products that we design, engineer, manufacture, repair and overhaul, and maintain detailed records to ensure traceability of the production of and service on each aircraft component. Management believes that the resources required to institute and maintain our quality control procedures represents a barrier to entry for competitors.

FAA APPROVALS AND PRODUCT DESIGN

Non-OEM manufacturers of jet engine replacement parts must receive a PMA from the FAA. The PMA process includes the submission of sample parts, drawings and testing data to one of the FAA's Aircraft Certification Offices where the submitted data are analyzed. We believe that an applicant's ability to successfully complete the PMA process is limited by several factors, including (i) the agency's confidence level in the applicant, (ii) the complexity of the part, (iii) the volume of PMAs being filed, and (iv) the resources available to the FAA. We also believe that companies such as HEICO that have demonstrated their manufacturing capabilities and established track records with the FAA generally receive a faster turnaround time in the processing of PMA applications. Finally, we believe that the PMA process creates a significant barrier to entry in this market niche through both its technical demands and its limits on the rate at which competitors can bring products to market.

As part of our growth strategy, we have continued to increase our research and development activities. Research and development expenditures increased from approximately \$300,000 in 1991 to approximately \$4.4 million in fiscal 1998. Moreover, under our strategic alliance with Lufthansa Technik, Lufthansa Technik agreed to fund \$16 million for research and development projects relating to jet engine replacement parts. We believe that our Flight Support Group's research and development capabilities are a significant component of our historical success and an integral part of our growth strategy.

We benefit from our proprietary rights relating to certain designs, engineering, manufacturing processes and repair and overhaul procedures. Customers often rely on us to provide initial and additional components, as well as to redesign, re-engineer, replace or repair and provide overhaul services on such aircraft components at every stage of their useful lives. In addition, for some products, our unique manufacturing capabilities are required by the customer's specifications or designs, thereby necessitating reliance on us for production of such designed product.

While we have developed proprietary techniques, software and manufacturing expertise for the manufacture of jet replacement parts, we have no patents for these proprietary techniques and choose to rely on trade secret protection. We believe that although our proprietary techniques, software and expertise are subject to misappropriation or obsolescence, development of improved methods and processes and new techniques by us will continue on an ongoing basis as dictated by the technological needs of our business. See "Risk Factors -- Possible Inability to Develop and Manufacture New Technologies and Products."

SALES, MARKETING AND CUSTOMERS

Each of our operating divisions and subsidiaries independently conducts sales and marketing efforts directed at their respective customers and industries and, in some cases, collaborates with other operating divisions and subsidiaries within its group for cross-marketing efforts. Sales and marketing efforts are conducted primarily by in-house personnel and, to a lesser extent, by independent manufacturer's representatives. Generally, the in-house sales personnel receive a base salary plus commission and manufacturer's representatives receive a commission on sales.

We believe that direct relationships are crucial to establishing and maintaining a strong customer base and, accordingly, our senior management are actively involved in our marketing activities, particularly with established customers. We are also a member of various trade and business organizations related to the commercial aviation industry, such as the Aerospace Industries Association ("AIA"), the leading trade association representing the nation's manufacturers of commercial, military and business aircraft, aircraft engines and related components and equipment. Due in large part to our established industry presence, we enjoy strong customer relations, name recognition and repeat business.

We sell our products to a broad customer base consisting of domestic and foreign commercial and cargo airlines, repair and overhaul facilities, other aftermarket suppliers of aircraft engine and airframe materials, OEMs and military units. No one customer accounted for sales of 10% or more of total consolidated sales from continuing operations during any of the last three fiscal years. However, the net sales to our five largest customers accounted for approximately 32% of total net sales during the year

COMPETITION

41

The aerospace product and service industry is characterized by intense competition and some of our competitors have substantially greater name recognition, inventories, complementary product and service offerings, financial, marketing and other resources than us. As a result, such competitors may be able to respond more quickly to customer requirements than us. Moreover, smaller competitors may be in a position to offer more attractive pricing of engine parts as a result of lower labor costs and other factors. Any expansion of our existing products or services could expose us to new competition. See "Risk Factors -- Competition."

Our jet engine replacement parts business competes primarily with Pratt & Whitney and, to a much lesser extent, General Electric. The competition is principally based on price and service inasmuch as our parts are interchangeable with the parts produced by Pratt & Whitney and General Electric. We believe that we supply over 50% of the market for certain JT8D engine parts for which we hold a PMA from the FAA, with Pratt & Whitney controlling the balance. With respect to other aerospace products and services sold by the Flight Support Group, we compete with both the leading jet engine OEMs and a large number of machining, fabrication and repair companies, some of which have greater financial and other resources than us. Competition is based mainly on price, product performance, service and technical capability.

Competition for the repair and overhaul of jet engine components comes from three principal sources: OEMs, major commercial airlines and other independent service companies. Some of these companies have greater financial and other resources than us. Some major commercial airlines own and operate their own service centers and sell repair and overhaul services to other aircraft operators. Foreign airlines that provide repair and overhaul services typically provide these services for their own components and for third parties. OEMs also maintain service centers that provide repair and overhaul services for the components they manufacture. Other independent service organizations also compete for the repair and overhaul business of other users of aircraft components. We believe that the principal competitive factors in the airmotive market are quality, turnaround time, overall customer service and price.

Our Ground Support Group competes with several large and small domestic and foreign competitors, some of which have greater financial resources than us. We believe the market for our GSE is highly fragmented, with competition based mainly on price, product performance and service.

RAW MATERIALS

We purchase a variety of raw materials, primarily consisting of high temperature alloy sheet metal and castings and forgings, from various vendors. We also purchase parts, including diesel and gas powered engines, compressors and generators. The materials used by our operations are generally available from a number of sources and in sufficient quantities to meet current requirements subject to normal lead times.

BACKLOGS

Our Flight Support operations had a backlog of unshipped orders as of October 31, 1998 of \$28.6 million as compared to \$24.0 million as of October 31, 1997. This backlog includes \$16.9 million representing forecasted shipments over the next twelve months for some contracts of the Flight Support operations pursuant to which customers provide estimated annual usage. Our Ground Support operations had a backlog of \$6.8 million as of October 31, 1998 and \$12.5 million as of October 31, 1997. Substantially all of the backlog of orders as of October 31, 1998 are expected to be delivered during fiscal 1999.

GOVERNMENT REGULATION

The FAA regulates the manufacture, repair and operation of all aircraft and aircraft parts operated in the United States. Its regulations are designed to ensure that all aircraft and aviation equipment are continuously maintained in proper condition to ensure safe operation of the aircraft. Similar rules apply in other countries. All aircraft must be maintained under a continuous condition monitoring program and must periodically undergo thorough inspection and maintenance. The inspection, maintenance and repair procedures for the various types of aircraft and equipment are prescribed by regulatory authorities and can be performed only by certified repair facilities utilizing certified technicians. Certification and conformance is required prior to installation of a part on an aircraft. Aircraft operators must maintain logs concerning the utilization and condition of aircraft engines, life-limited engine parts and airframes. In addition, the FAA requires that various maintenance routines be performed on aircraft engines, some engine parts and airframes at regular intervals based on cycles or flight time. Engine maintenance must also be performed upon the occurrence of certain events, such as foreign object damage in an aircraft engine or the replacement of life-limited engine parts. Such maintenance usually requires that an aircraft engine be taken out of service. Our operations may in the future be subject to new and more stringent regulatory requirements. In that regard, we closely monitor the FAA and industry trade groups in an attempt to understand how possible future regulations might impact us. See "Risk Factors -- Risk of Loss of Governmental Authorizations and Approvals."

Because our jet engine replacement parts largely consist of older model JT8D aircraft engines and engine parts, we are substantially impacted by the FAA's noise regulations. The ability of aircraft operators to utilize such JT8D aircraft engines in domestic flight operations is significantly influenced by regulations promulgated by the FAA governing, among other things, noise standards. Pursuant to the Aircraft Noise and Capacity Act, the FAA has required all commercial aircraft operating in the contiguous United States with a maximum weight of more than 75,000 pounds to meet Stage 3 noise levels by December 31, 1999, unless waived by the FAA. Aircraft which require hush-kits or other modifications to be in compliance with Stage 3 include the Boeing 727-200s, Boeing 737-200s and McDonnell Douglas DC-9-30/40/50s. This ban on operation in the United States of non-Stage 3 compliant aircraft applies to both domestic and foreign aircraft operators. The European Union has adopted similar restrictions for the operation of non-Stage 3 aircraft within member nations of the European Union subject to a variety of exemptions. Airports at some European cities also have adopted more stringent local regulations which restrict the operation of non-Stage 3 aircraft at such airports. The European Union is currently considering a proposed regulation which would bar the registration in a member state of the European Union after March 31, 1999 and, with some exceptions, the operation in the European Union after April 1, 2002, of any older model JT8D aircraft which has reached Stage 3 compliance through hush-kitting or some other means. See "Risk Factors -- Dependence on the JT8D Aircraft Engine Aftermarket."

ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

Our operations are subject to extensive, and frequently changing, federal, state and local environmental laws and substantial related regulation by government agencies, including the Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA"). Among other matters, these regulatory authorities impose requirements that regulate the operation, handling, transportation, and disposal of hazardous materials, the health and safety of workers, and require us to obtain and maintain licenses and permits in connection with our operations. This extensive regulatory framework imposes significant compliance burdens and risks on us. Notwithstanding these burdens, we believe that we are in material compliance with all federal, state, and local laws and regulations governing our operations.

We are principally subject to the requirements of the Clean Air Act of 1970 (the "CAA"), as amended in 1990; the Clean Water Act of 1977; the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA"); the Resource Conservation Recovery Act of 1976 (the "RCRA"); and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984. The following is a summary of the material regulations that are applicable to us.

The CAA imposes significant requirements upon owners and operators of facilities that discharge air pollutants into the environment. The CAA mandates that facilities which emit air pollutants comply with

certain operational criteria and secure appropriate permits. Additionally, authorized states such as Florida may implement various aspects of the CAA and develop their own regulations for air pollution control. Our facilities presently hold air emission permits and we intend to conduct an air emissions inventory and health and safety audit of our facilities and, depending upon the results of such assessments, may find it necessary to secure additional permits and/or to install additional control technology, which could result in the initiation of an enforcement action, the imposition of penalties and the possibility of substantial capital expenditures.

CERCLA, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 ("SARA"), is designed to respond to the release of hazardous substances. CERCLA's most notable objectives are to provide criteria and funding for the cleanup of sites contaminated by hazardous substances and impose strict liability on parties responsible for such contamination, namely owners and operators of facilities or vessels from which such releases or threatened releases occur, and persons who generated, transported, or arranged for the transportation of hazardous substances to a facility from which such release or threatened release occurs.

RCRA and EPA's implementing regulations establish the basic framework for federal regulation of hazardous waste. RCRA governs the generation, transportation, treatment, storage and disposal of hazardous waste through a comprehensive system of hazardous waste management techniques and requirements. RCRA requires facilities such as ours that treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste to comply with enumerated operating standards. Many states, including Florida, have created programs similar to RCRA for the purpose of issuing annual operating permits and conducting routine inspections of such facilities to ensure regulatory compliance. We believe that our facilities are in material compliance with all currently applicable RCRA and similar state requirements, hold all applicable permits required under RCRA, and are operating in material compliance with the terms of all such permits.

In addition, Congress has enacted federal regulations governing the underground storage of petroleum products and hazardous substances. The federal underground storage tank ("UST") regulatory scheme mandates that EPA establish requirements for leak detection, construction standards for new USTs, reporting of releases, corrective actions, on-site practices and record-keeping, closure standards, and financial responsibility. Some states, including Florida, have promulgated their own performance criteria for new USTs, including requirements for spill and overfill protection, UST location, as well as primary and secondary containment. We believe that our facilities are in material compliance with the federal and state UST regulatory requirements and performance criteria.

Other Regulation. We are also subject to a variety of other regulations including work-related and community safety laws. The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 ("OSHA") mandates general requirements for safe workplaces for all employees. In particular, OSHA provides special procedures and measures for the handling of some hazardous and toxic substances. In addition, specific safety standards have been promulgated for workplaces engaged in the treatment, disposal or storage of hazardous waste. Requirements under state law, in some circumstances, may mandate additional measures for facilities handling materials specified as extremely dangerous. We believe that our operations are in material compliance with OSHA's health and safety requirements.

PROPERTIES

We own or lease the following facilities:

LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	SQUARE FOOTAGE	OWNED/LEASE EXPIRATION
Hollywood, Florida	Flight Support Group manufacturing facility and corporate headquarters	140,000	Owned
Palmetto, Florida	Ground Support Group manufacturing facility and office	113,000	Owned
Atlanta, Georgia	Flight Support Group engineering and manufacturing facility	38,400(1)	Owned
Miami, Florida	Overhaul and repair facility(2)	56,000	Owned
Miami, Florida	Overhaul and repair facility(3)	9,000	May 1999
Miami, Florida	Overhaul and repair facility(3)	18,000	Month-to-month
Miami, Florida Anacortes, Washington	Administrative offices Flight support manufacturing facility	2,300 9,000	December 1999 June 2003

- -----

- (1) After completion of current expansion expected by May 1999.
- (2) We have purchased this facility to replace our existing repair and overhaul facilities in Miami, Florida, and are in the process of renovations. Occupancy is expected by May 1999.
- (3) We expect to move out of this facility in May 1999 and into the facility referenced in footnote(2) above.

For additional information with respect to our leases, see Note 5 of Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

We believe that our current capacity, coupled with our plans for facilities expansion, is sufficient to handle our anticipated needs for the foreseeable future.

INSURANCE

We are a named insured under policies which include the following coverage: (i) product liability, including grounding, up to \$350 million (combined single limit and in the annual aggregate); (ii) personal property, inventory and business income at our facilities with blanket coverage up to \$134 million; (iii) general liability coverage up to \$2 million (\$1 million limit for each claim); (iv) employee benefit liability up to \$1 million for each claim and in the aggregate; (v) international liability and automobile liability of up to \$1 million; (vi) umbrella liability coverage up to \$20 million for each occurrence and in the aggregate; and (vii) various other activities or items subject to certain limits and deductibles. We believe that these coverages are adequate to insure against the various liability risks of our business. See "Risk Factors --Product Liability and Claims Exposure."

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 1998, we, and our subsidiaries, had 660 full-time employees, of which 496 were in the Flight Support Group, 154 were in the Ground Support Group, and 10 were corporate. None of our employees is represented by a union. We believe that our employee relations are good.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In November 1989, the Flight Support Group was named a defendant in a complaint filed by UTC alleging infringement of a patent, misappropriation of trade secrets and unfair competition relating to some jet engine parts and coatings sold by the Flight Support Group in competition with Pratt & Whitney, a division of UTC, and sought damages of approximately \$30 million. A summary judgment motion filed on our behalf was granted, and all allegations against us were dismissed. UTC may challenge these rulings in further court proceedings. A counter-claim filed by us is still pending. The ultimate outcome of this litigation is not certain at this time and no provision for litigation costs and/or gain or loss, if any, has been made in the consolidated financial statements. The legal costs, management efforts and other resources that have been and continue to be incurred by us are substantial. There can be no assurance that the lawsuit will not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

In May 1998, we were served with a lawsuit by Travelers Casualty & Surety Co., f/k/a The Aetna Casualty and Surety Co. ("Travelers"). The complaint seeks reimbursement of legal fees and costs totaling in excess of \$15 million paid by Travelers in defending us in the aforementioned litigation with UTC. In addition, Travelers seeks a declaratory judgment that we did not and do not have insurance coverage under certain insurance policies with Travelers and, accordingly, that Travelers did not have and does not have a duty to defend or indemnify us under such policies. Also named as defendants in Travelers' lawsuit are UTC and one of the law firms representing us in the UTC litigation.

We intend to vigorously defend Travelers' claim and believe that we have significant counterclaims for damages. After taking into consideration legal counsel's evaluation of Travelers' claim, management is of the opinion that the outcome of the Travelers litigation will not have a significant adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements. See "Risk Factors -- Litigation."

MANAGEMENT

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

The Company's executive officers and directors are as follows:

NAME	AGE	POSITION(S)	DIRECTOR SINCE
Laurans A. Mendelson	60	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer	1989
Thomas S. Irwin	52	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	
Eric A. Mendelson	33	Vice President and Director, President of HEICO Aerospace Holdings Corp.	1992
Victor H. Mendelson	31	Vice President, General Counsel and Director, President of HEICO Aviation Products Corp.	1996
James L. Reum	67	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of HEICO Aerospace Holdings Corp.	
Jacob T. Carwile	76	Director	1975
Samuel L. Higginbottom	77	Director	1989
Paul F. Manieri	81	Director	1985
Albert Morrison, Jr.	62	Director	1989
Dr. Alan Schriesheim	68	Director	1984
Guy C. Shafer	80	Director	1989

Laurans A. Mendelson has served as Chairman of the Board of the Company since December 1990. Mr. Mendelson has also served as Chief Executive Officer of the Company since February 1990, President of the Company since September 1991 and served as President of MediTek Health Corporation from May 1994 until its sale in July 1996. He has been Chairman of the Board of Ambassador Square, Inc. (a Miami, Florida real estate development and management company) since 1980 and President of that company since 1988. He has been Chairman of Columbia Ventures, Inc. (a private investment company) since 1985 and President of that company since 1988. In 1997, Mr. Mendelson served on the board of governors of the AIA. Mr. Mendelson is a Certified Public Accountant. Mr. Mendelson is a member of the Board of Trustees of Columbia University and the Board of Trustees of Mount Sinai Medical Center in Miami Beach, Florida.

Thomas S. Irwin has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company since September 1991 and served as Senior Vice President of the Company from 1986 to 1991 and Vice President and Treasurer from 1982 to 1986. Mr. Irwin is a Certified Public Accountant.

Eric A. Mendelson has served as Vice President of the Company since 1992, and has been President of HEICO Aerospace Holdings Corp. ("HEICO Aerospace"), a subsidiary of HEICO, since its formation in 1997 and President of HEICO Aerospace Corporation since 1993. He also served as President of HEICO's Jet Avion Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of HEICO Aerospace, from 1993 to 1996 and served as Jet Avion's Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer from 1991 to 1993. From 1990 to 1991, Mr. Mendelson was Director of Planning and Operations of the Company. Mr. Mendelson is a co-founder, and, since 1987, has been Managing Director of Mendelson International Corporation ("MIC"), a private investment company which is a shareholder of HEICO. He received his AB degree from Columbia College and his MBA from the Columbia University Graduate School of Business. Eric Mendelson is the son of Laurans Mendelson and the brother of Victor Mendelson.

Victor H. Mendelson has served as Vice President of the Company since 1996, as President of HEICO Aviation Products Corp. since September 1996 and as General Counsel of the Company since 1993. He served as Executive Vice President of MediTek Health Corporation from 1994 and its Chief Operating Officer from 1995 until its sale in July 1996. He was the Company's Associate General Counsel from 1992 until 1993. From 1990 until 1992, he worked on a consulting basis with the Company developing and analyzing various strategic opportunities. Mr. Mendelson is a co-founder, and, since 1987,

has been President, of Mendelson International Corporation (a private investment company which is a shareholder of HEICO). Mr. Mendelson received his AB degree from Columbia College and his JD from The University of Miami School of Law. He is a Trustee of St. Thomas University, Miami, Florida. Victor Mendelson is the son of Laurans Mendelson and the brother of Eric Mendelson.

James L. Reum has served as Executive Vice President of HEICO Aerospace since April 1993 and Chief Operating Officer of HEICO Aerospace since May 1995. He also served as President of LPI Industries Corporation from 1991 to 1998 and President of Jet Avion Corporation since March 1996. From January 1990 to August 1991, he served as Director of Research and Development for Jet Avion Corporation. From 1986 to 1989, Mr. Reum was self-employed as a management and engineering consultant to companies primarily within the aerospace industry. From 1957 to 1986, he was employed in various management positions with Chromalloy Gas Turbine Corp., Cooper Airmotive (later named Aviall, Inc.), United Airlines, Inc. and General Electric Company.

Jacob T. Carwile retired as a Lt. Col. from the United States Air Force ("USAF") and presently serves as an aerospace consultant. During Mr. Carwile's USAF career, Mr. Carwile served as a command pilot and procurement officer, working extensively in the development, testing, and production of many aircraft, helicopters, and engines. Mr. Carwile also served in special management positions with numerous overhaul and modification facilities in the United States and Spain. From 1972 to 1987 Mr. Carwile served as president of Decar Associates, which provided aviation material to the U.S. government and the aerospace industry.

Samuel L. Higginbottom is a retired executive officer of Rolls Royce, Inc. (an aircraft engine manufacturer), where he served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer from 1974 to 1986. He was the Chairman of the Columbia University Board of Trustees from 1982 until September 1989. He was President, Chief Operating Officer and a director of Eastern Airlines, Inc., from 1970 to 1973 and served in various other executive capacities with that company from 1964 to 1969. Mr. Higginbottom was a director of British Aerospace Holdings, Inc., an aircraft manufacturer, from 1986 to 1999 and was a director of AmeriFirst Bank from 1986 to 1991. He is Vice Chairman of St. Thomas University, Miami, Florida.

Paul F. Manieri is a management consultant and retired executive of IBM Corporation, for which he served in positions for 44 years, including Director of Manufacturing and Engineering for IBM World Trade Corporation and Director of Personnel and Director of Communications for IBM Corporation.

Albert Morrison, Jr. has served as President of Morrison, Brown, Argiz & Company, a certified public accounting firm located in Miami, Florida, since 1971. He has served as the Vice Chairman of the Dade County Industrial Development Authority since 1983. Mr. Morrison is the Treasurer of the Florida International University Board of Trustees and has served as a Trustee since 1980. Mr. Morrison also served as a director of Logic Devices, Inc., a computer electronics company and Walnut Financial Services, Inc., a financial services company.

Dr. Alan Schriesheim is retired from the Argonne National Laboratory, where he served as Director from 1984 to 1996. From 1983 to 1984, he served as Senior Deputy Director and Chief Operating Officer of Argonne. From 1956 to 1983, Dr. Schriesheim served in a number of capacities with Exxon Corporation in research and administration, including positions as General Manager of the Engineering Technology Department for Exxon Research and Engineering Co. and Director of Exxon's Corporate Research Laboratories. Dr. Schriesheim is also a director of Rohm and Haas Company, a chemical company, and a member of the Board of the Children's Memorial Hospital of Chicago, Illinois.

Guy C. Shafer is retired from Coltec Industries, Inc., formerly Colt Industries, Inc., (a manufacturer of aviation and automotive equipment), where he served as Advisor to the Chief Executive Officer from 1987 to 1988, Executive Vice President from 1985 to 1986 and Group Vice President from 1969 to 1985. Mr. Shafer has been in the aviation and automotive manufacturing industry since 1946.

PRINCIPAL AND SELLING SHAREHOLDERS

The following table sets forth some information regarding the beneficial ownership of the Common Stock and Class A Common Stock as of December 31, 1998 by (i) each person who is known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding Common Stock or Class A Common Stock, (ii) the Chief Executive Officer and the other four most highly compensated executive officers, (iii) the Selling Shareholder, (iv) each of the directors of the Company, and (v) all directors and executive officers of the Company as a group. Except as set forth below, the shareholders named below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of Common Stock shown as being beneficially owned by them.

SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED(2)

	COMMON STOCK			CLASS A COMMON STOCK			
	NUMBER		NUMBER				
Mendelson Reporting Group(3)(4)	2,109,212	21.83%	1,141,233	23.78%			
HEICO Savings and Investment Plan(5)	1,315,934	15.69	659,614	15.95			
Dr. Herbert A. Wertheim(6)	1,136,176	13.54	568,088	13.73			
Dimensional Fund Advisors, Inc.(7)	503 , 577	6.00	251,789	6.09			
Rene Plessner Reporting Group(8)	448,067	5.34	224,034	5.42			
Jacob T. Carwile(9)	135,013	1.59	67,782	1.61			
Samuel L. Higginbottom	3,749	*	2,149	*			
Paul F. Manieri(10)	85,505	1.01	67 , 753	1.61			
Eric A. Mendelson(11)(4)	427,223	4.94	209,210	4.91			
Laurans A. Mendelson(12)(4)	1,574,501	17.20	873 , 579	19.23			
Victor H. Mendelson(13)(4)	422,052	4.88	206,724	4.85			
Albert Morrison, Jr.(14)	17,073	*	8,811	*			
Dr. Alan Schriesheim(15)	122,994	1.45	61,772	1.47			
Guy C. Shafer	11,475	*	6,012	*			
Thomas S. Irwin(16)	320,705	3.75	160,353	3.80			
James L. Reum(17)	113,385	1.33	56,694	1.35			
All directors and officers as a group (11							
persons)(18)(4)	2,919,111	28.53	1,572,559	30.74			
All directors, officers, the HEICO Savings and							
Investment Plan and the Mendelson Reporting Group as							
a group(4)	4,235,045	41.39	2,232,173	43.64			

* Represents ownership of less than 1%.

- (1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each beneficial owner identified is c/o HEICO Corporation, 3000 Taft Street, Hollywood, Florida 33021. Except as otherwise indicated, such beneficial owners have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock owned by them, except to the extent such power may be shared with a spouse.
- (2) The number of shares of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock deemed outstanding prior to this offering includes (i) 8,389,556 shares of Common Stock outstanding as of December 31, 1998, (ii) 4,136,106 shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding as of December 31, 1998, and (iii) shares issued pursuant to options held by the respective person or group which may be exercised within 60 days after December 31, 1998 ("presently exercisable stock options") as set forth below. Pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, presently exercisable stock options are deemed to be outstanding and to be beneficially owned by the person or group for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of such person or group, but are not treated as outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person or group.
- (3) The Mendelson Reporting Group consists of Laurans A. Mendelson; Eric A. Mendelson; Victor H. Mendelson; MIC, a corporation whose stock is owned solely by Eric and Victor Mendelson and whose Chairman of the Board is Laurans A. Mendelson; LAM Limited Partners, a partnership whose sole general partner is a corporation controlled by Arlene Mendelson, the wife of Laurans A. Mendelson; LAM Alpha Partnership, a partnership whose sole general partner is a corporation controlled by Laurans A. Mendelson; and the Victor H. Mendelson Revocable Investment Trust, whose grantor, trustee and sole presently vested beneficiary is Victor H. Mendelson. Includes 1,274,568 shares of Common Stock and 662,285 shares of Class A Common Stock covered by currently exercisable stock options. Also includes 56,815 shares of Common Stock and 90,384 shares of Class A Common Stock held of record by employees and former shareholders of the Company's Northwings Accessories Corp. subsidiary but subject to a voting proxy held by Laurans A.

Mendelson. See Notes (4), (11) and (12) below. The address of the Mendelson Reporting Group is 825 Brickell Bay Drive, 16th Floor, Miami, Florida 33131.

- (4) The Mendelson Reporting Group, or entities controlled by it, is offering 240,000 shares of Class A Common Stock in the offering. Assuming no exercise of the Underwriters' over-allotment option, the sale by the Mendelson Reporting Group, of the 240,000 shares of Class A Common Stock in the offering will result in the following after the offering: (i) the Mendelson Reporting Group beneficially owning 901,233 shares of Class A Common Stock (12% of the Class A Common Stock); (ii) all directors and officers as a group beneficially owning 1,332,559 shares of Class A Common Stock (17% of the Class A Common Stock); and (iii) all directors, officers, the HEICO Savings and Investment Plan and the Mendelson Reporting Group as a group beneficially owning 1,992,173 shares of Class A Common Stock (25% of the Class A Common Stock). The Mendelson Reporting Group, or entities controlled by them, also may sell up to 240,000 shares of Class A Common Stock if the Underwriters' over-allotment option is exercised. Full exercise of the option will result in the following after the offering: (i) the Mendelson Reporting Group beneficially owning 661,233 shares of Class A Common Stock (8% of the Class A Common Stock); (ii) all directors and officers as a group beneficially owning 1,092,559 shares of Class A Common Stock (13% of the Class A Common Stock); and (iii) all directors, officers, the HEICO Savings and Investment Plan and the Mendelson Reporting Group as a group beneficially owning 1,752,173 shares of Class A Common Stock (21% of the Class A Common Stock).
- (5) Reflects 517,564 shares of Common Stock and 260,429 shares of Class A Common Stock allocated to participants' individual accounts and 798,370 unallocated shares of Common Stock and 399,185 unallocated shares of Class A Common Stock as of December 31, 1998. Under the terms of the Plan, all shares allocated to the accounts of participating employees will be voted or not as directed by written instructions from the participating employees, and allocated shares for which no instructions are received and all unallocated shares will be voted in the same proportion as the shares for which instructions are received. The address of HEICO Savings and Investment Plan is c/o Reliance Trust Company, 3384 Peachtree Road NE, Suite 900, Atlanta, Georgia 30326.
- (6) The address of Dr. Wertheim is 191 Leucadendra Drive, Coral Gables, Florida 33156.
- (7) Based on information in a Schedule 13G filed on February 10, 1998, all of which shares are held in portfolios of advisory clients of Dimensional, DFA Investment Dimensions Group Inc., or DFA Investment Trust Company, registered open-end investment companies. The address of Dimensional Fund Advisors, Inc. is 1299 Ocean Avenue, Suite 650, Santa Monica, California 90401.
- (8) Based on information in a Schedule 13D dated January 9, 1997 filed by Mr. Plessner individually and as sole Trustee for the Rene Plessner Associates, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan. Reflects 279,979 shares of Common Stock and 139,990 shares of Class A Common Stock held by Mr. Plessner and 168,088 shares of Common Stock and 84,044 shares of Class A Common Stock held by the Rene Plessner Associates, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan, an employee profit sharing plan of Rene Plessner Associates, Inc., an executive search company. The address of Rene Plessner Reporting Group is 375 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10052.
- (9) Reflects 123,538 shares of Common Stock and 61,770 shares of Class A Common Stock subject to presently exercisable stock options.
- (10) Reflects 73,538 shares of Common Stock and 61,770 shares of Class A Common Stock subject to presently exercisable stock options.
- (11) Reflects 157,282 shares of Common Stock and 74,140 shares of Class A Common Stock held by MIC, 254,918 shares of Common Stock and 127,459 shares of Class A Common Stock covered by currently exercisable stock options and 13,181 shares of Common Stock and 6,591 shares of Class A Common Stock held by the HEICO Savings and Investment Plan and allocated to Eric A. Mendelson's account and 250 shares of Common Stock and 225 shares of Class A Common Stock owned by Eric Mendelson's children. See Note (3) above.
- (12) Laurans A. Mendelson disclaims beneficial ownership with respect to 157,282 shares of Common Stock and 74,140 shares of Class A Common Stock, respectively, of these shares, which are held in the name of MIC, 16,050 shares of Common Stock and 8,875 shares of Class A Common Stock which were donated to Laurans A. and Arlene H. Mendelson Charitable Foundation, Inc., of which

Mr. Mendelson is president, and 56,815 shares of Common Stock and 90,384 shares of Class A Common Stock held of record by employees and former shareholders of the Company's Northwings subsidiary but subject to a voting proxy held by Mr. Mendelson. Includes 560,419 shares of Common Stock and 283,211 shares of Class A Common Stock held solely by Mr. Mendelson, LAM Alpha Limited Partnership or LAM Limited Partners. Also includes 765,105 shares of Common Stock and 407,554 shares of Class A Common Stock covered by currently exercisable stock options and 18,830 shares of Common Stock and 9,415 shares of Class A Common Stock held by the HEICO Savings and Investment Plan and allocated to Mr. Mendelson's account. See Notes (3), (11) and (13).

- (13) Reflects 157,282 shares of Common Stock and 74,140 shares of Class A Common Stock held by MIC, 254,545 shares of Common Stock and 127,272 shares of Class A Common Stock covered by currently exercisable stock options, of which 156,485 shares of Common Stock and 78,243 shares of Class A Common Stock are held by the Victor H. Mendelson Revocable Investment Trust, and 9,530 shares of Common Stock and 4,765 shares of Class A Common Stock held by the HEICO Savings and Investment Plan and allocated to Victor H. Mendelson's account and 200 shares of Class A Common Stock owned by Victor Mendelson's children. See Note (3) above.
- (14) Albert Morrison Jr.'s voting and dispositive power with respect to 15,481 and 7,740 shares of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock, respectively, of these shares is held indirectly through Sheridan Ventures, Inc., a corporation of which Mr. Morrison is the President, but not a shareholder.
- (15) Reflects 111,182 shares of Common Stock and 55,592 shares of Class A Common Stock subject to presently exercisable stock options.
- (16) Reflects 154,309 shares of Common Stock and 84,516 shares of Class A Common Stock covered by currently exercisable stock options and 26,491 shares of Common Stock and 13,245 shares of Class A Common Stock held by the HEICO Savings and Investment Plan and allocated to Thomas S. Irwin's account.
- (17) Reflects 106,577 shares of Common Stock and 53,290 shares of Class A Common Stock covered by currently exercisable stock options, and 6,808 shares of Common Stock and 3,404 shares of Class A Common Stock held by the HEICO Savings and Investment Plan and allocated to James L. Reum's account.
- (18) Reflects 1,843,712 shares of Common Stock and 979,223 shares of Class A Common Stock covered by currently exercisable stock options. The total for all directors and officers as a group (11 persons) also includes 74,840 shares of Common Stock and 37,420 shares of Class A Common Stock held by the HEICO Savings and Investment Plan and allocated to accounts of officers pursuant to the Plan. See Note (3) above.

GENERAL

The Company is authorized to issue 30,000,000 shares of Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share ("Common Stock"), 30,000,000 shares of Class A Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share ("Class A Common Stock"), and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share ("Preferred Stock"), of which 200,000 shares have been designated as Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (the "Series A Preferred Stock"). As of December 31, 1998, (i) 8,389,556 shares of Common Stock were outstanding and such shares were held by approximately 1,231 holders of record and (ii) 4,136,106 shares of Class A Common Stock were outstanding and such shares were held by approximately 1,143 holders of record. None of the Preferred Stock is outstanding.

The following descriptions of the Common Stock, the Class A Common Stock, the Preferred Stock and the Series A Preferred Stock are based on the Company's Articles and Bylaws and applicable Florida law.

COMMON STOCK

Each holder of Common Stock is entitled to one vote for each share owned of record on all matters presented to the shareholders. In the event of a liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, the holders of Common Stock are entitled to share equally and ratably in the assets of the Company, if any, remaining after the payment of all debts and liabilities of the Company and the liquidation preference of any outstanding Preferred Stock. The Common Stock has no preemptive rights, no cumulative voting rights and no redemption, sinking fund or conversion provisions. Currently, 2,740,602 shares of Common Stock are reserved for issuance under the Company's stock option plans.

Holders of Common Stock are entitled to receive dividends if, as and when declared by the Board out of funds legally available therefor, subject to the dividend and liquidation rights of any Preferred Stock that may be issued and outstanding and subject to any dividend restrictions in the Company's credit facilities. No dividends or other distributions (including redemptions or repurchases of shares of capital stock) may be made if after giving effect to any such dividends or distributions, the Company would not be able to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business or the Company's total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed at the time of a liquidation to satisfy the preferential rights of any holders of Preferred Stock.

The transfer agent and registrar for the Common Stock is ChaseMellon Securities Services, Seattle, Washington.

CLASS A COMMON STOCK

Each holder of Class A Common Stock is entitled to the identical rights as the holders of Common Stock except that each share of Common Stock will entitle the holder thereof to one vote in respect of matters submitted for the vote of holders of common stock, whereas each share of Class A Common Stock will entitle the holder thereof to one-tenth of a vote on such matters. Currently, 1,588,846 shares of Class A Common Stock are reserved for issuance under the Company's stock option plans.

PREFERRED STOCK AND SERIES A PREFERRED STOCK

The Board of Directors of the Company is authorized, without further shareholder action, to designate and issue from time to time one or more series of Preferred Stock, including the Series A Preferred Stock. The Board of Directors may fix and determine the designations, preferences and relative rights and qualifications, limitations or restrictions of any series of Preferred Stock so established, including voting powers, dividend rights, liquidation preferences, redemption rights and conversion privileges. Because the Board of Directors has the power to establish the preferences and rights of each series of Preferred Stock, it may afford the holders of any series of Preferred Stock preferences and rights, voting or otherwise, senior to the rights of holders of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock. Holders of the Series A Preferred Stock shall be entitled to receive (i) distributions or cash dividends in an amount per share equal to 100 times the aggregate per share amount of all cash dividends declared or paid on the common stock, (ii) a preferential cash dividend, and (iii) in some circumstances to 100 votes per share. As of the date of this Prospectus, the Board of Directors has not issued any Preferred Stock or Series A Preferred Stock, and has no plans to issue any shares of Preferred Stock or Series A Preferred Stock.

ANTI-TAKEOVER EFFECTS OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA LAW, THE COMPANY'S ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION AND BYLAWS, AND THE PREFERRED STOCK PURCHASE RIGHTS

Certain provisions of the Articles and Bylaws of the Company and Florida law summarized in the following paragraphs may be deemed to have an anti-takeover effect and may discourage, delay, defer or prevent a tender offer or takeover attempt that a shareholder might consider in its best interest, including those attempts that might result in a premium over the market price for the shares held by shareholders.

Special Meeting of Shareholders. The Bylaws provide that special meetings of shareholders of the Company may be called only by the Company's Chairman of the Board, the President of the Company or by a majority of the Board. This provision could make it more difficult for shareholders to take actions opposed by the Board.

Preferred Stock Purchase Rights Plan. In November 1993, the Company declared a distribution of Preferred Stock Purchase Rights (the "Rights") for each outstanding share of common stock. Such Rights trade with the common stock and are not exercisable or transferable apart from the common stock until a person or group acquires 15% or more of the outstanding common stock or commence or announce an intention to commence a tender offer for 30% or more of the outstanding common stock. The Rights shall expire on November 2, 2003 and have some anti-takeover effects that will cause substantial dilution to a person or a group who attempts to acquire the Company on terms not approved by the Board or who acquires 15% or more of the outstanding common stock without approval of the Board.

Advance Notice for Shareholder Proposals and Director Nominations. The Bylaws provide that shareholders seeking to bring business before an annual meeting of shareholders, or to nominate candidates for election as directors at an annual or special meeting of shareholders, must provide timely notice in writing. To be timely with respect to an annual meeting, a shareholder's notice must be delivered to or mailed and received at the principal executive offices of the Company not less than sixty (60) days nor more than ninety (90) days prior to the first anniversary of the date of the Company's notice of annual meeting provided with respect to the previous year's meeting. The Bylaws also specify certain requirements for a shareholder's notice to be in proper written form. These provisions may preclude shareholders from bringing matters before or from making nominations for directors at an annual or special meeting.

Authorized But Unissued Shares. Subject to the applicable requirements of the exchange on which the Company's shares are listed, the authorized but unissued shares of Common Stock, Class A Common Stock, Preferred Stock and Series A Preferred Stock are available for future issuance without shareholder approval. These additional shares may be utilized for a variety of corporate purposes, including future public offerings to raise additional capital, corporate acquisitions or employee benefit plans. The existence of authorized but unissued and unreserved Common Stock, Class A Common Stock, Preferred Stock and Series A Preferred Stock may enable the Board to issue shares to persons friendly to current management which could render more difficult or discourage an attempt to obtain control of the Company by means of a proxy contest, tender offer, merger or otherwise, and thereby protect the continuity of the Company's management.

Certain Florida Legislation. The State of Florida has enacted legislation that may deter or frustrate takeovers of Florida corporations. The Florida Control Share Act generally provides that shares acquired in excess of some specified thresholds will not possess any voting rights unless such voting rights are approved by a majority of a corporation's disinterested shareholders. The Florida Affiliated Transactions Act generally requires supermajority approval by disinterested shareholders of some specified transactions between a public corporation and holders of more than 10% of the outstanding voting shares of the

corporation (or their affiliates). Florida law and the Company's Articles also authorize the Company to indemnify the Company's directors, officers, employees and agents under some circumstances and presently limit the personal liability of corporate directors for monetary damages, except where the directors (i) breach their fiduciary duties and (ii) such breach constitutes or includes certain violations of criminal law, a transaction from which the directors derived an improper personal benefit, some unlawful distributions or some other reckless, wanton or willful acts or misconduct. The Company may also indemnify any person who was or is a party to any proceeding by reason of the fact that he is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of such corporation (or is or was serving at the request of such corporation in such a position for another entity) against liability to be in the best interests of such corporation and, with respect to criminal proceedings, had no reasonable cause to believe his conduct was unlawful.

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE

Upon completion of the offering, the Company will have 8,389,556 shares of Common Stock and 7,096,106 shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding (assuming no exercise of any stock options). All of these shares (including the 3,200,000 offered hereby) are or will be tradable without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") unless purchased by "affiliates" of the Company, as that term is defined under Rule 144 promulgated under the Securities Act ("Rule 144").

All directors and executive officers of the Company, and the Selling Shareholder, who together, assuming no exercise of the Underwriters' over-allotment option, will own an aggregate of 2,919,111 and 1,332,559 shares of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock, respectively (or options to acquire Common Stock and Class A Common Stock) after the offering, have, subject to certain exceptions, agreed not to sell or otherwise dispose of any shares of Common Stock, Class A Common Stock or any other equity stock of the Company until the expiration of 180 days after the date of this Prospectus without the prior written consent of the Representatives. See "Underwriting."

The Company is unable to predict the effect that sales of Common Stock or Class A Common Stock made under Rule 144, pursuant to future registration statements or otherwise, may have on any then-prevailing market price for shares of the Common Stock or Class A Common Stock. Nevertheless, sales of a substantial amount of the Common Stock or Class A Common Stock in the public market, or the perception that such sales could occur, could materially adversely affect the market price of the Common Stock or Class A Common Stock as well as the Company's ability to raise additional capital through the sale of its equity securities.

An aggregate of up to 2,740,602 and 1,588,846 shares of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock, respectively, issuable under the Company's stock option plans (consisting of options currently outstanding to purchase 2,693,203 and 1,416,536 shares of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock, respectively, and 47,399 and 172,310 shares of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock, respectively, remaining available for grant or award thereunder) may become eligible for sale without restriction to the extent they are held by persons who are not affiliates of the Company and by affiliates pursuant to Rule 144. See "Principal and Selling Shareholders."

CERTAIN UNITED STATES TAX CONSEQUENCES TO NON-UNITED STATES HOLDERS

The following is a general discussion of certain United States federal income and estate tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of our Class A Common Stock by a Non-U.S. Holder. For this purpose, a "Non-U.S. Holder" is any person who is, for United States federal income tax purposes, a foreign corporation, a non-resident alien individual, a foreign partnership or a foreign estate or trust. This discussion does not address all aspects of United States federal income and estate taxes and does not deal with foreign, state and local consequences that may be relevant to such Non-U.S. Holders in light of their personal circumstances. Furthermore, this discussion is based on provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), existing and proposed regulations promulgated thereunder and administrative and judicial interpretations thereof, as of the date hereof, all of which are subject to change (possibly with retroactive effect). EACH PROSPECTIVE PURCHASER OF OUR CLASS A COMMON STOCK IS ADVISED TO CONSULT A TAX ADVISOR WITH RESPECT TO CURRENT AND POSSIBLE FUTURE TAX CONSEQUENCES OF ACQUIRING, HOLDING AND DISPOSING OF OUR CLASS A COMMON STOCK AS WELL AS ANY TAX CONSEQUENCES THAT MAY ARISE UNDER THE LAWS OF ANY U.S. STATE, MUNICIPALITY OR OTHER TAXING JURISDICTION.

An individual may, subject to certain exceptions, be deemed to be a resident alien (as opposed to a non-resident alien) by virtue of being present in the United States on at least 31 days in the calendar year and for an aggregate of at least 183 days during a three-year period ending in the current calendar year (counting for such purposes all of the days present in the current year, one-third of the days present in the

immediately preceding year, and one-sixth of the days present in the second preceding year). Resident aliens are subject to U.S. federal tax as if they were U.S. citizens.

DIVIDENDS

Dividends paid to a Non-U.S. Holder of our Class A Common Stock generally will be subject to withholding of United States federal income tax either at a rate of 30% of the gross amount of the dividends or at such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty. However, dividends that are effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business by the Non-U.S. Holder within the United States and, where a tax treaty applies, are attributable to a United States permanent establishment of the Non-U.S. Holder, are not subject to the withholding tax (provided the Non-U.S. Holder files appropriate documentation with the payor of the dividend), but instead are subject to United States federal income tax on a net income basis at applicable graduated individual or corporate rates. Any such effectively connected dividends received by a foreign corporation may, under certain circumstances, be subject to an additional "branch profits tax" at a 30% rate or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty.

Under current law, dividends paid to an address outside the United States are presumed to be paid to a resident of such country (unless the payer has knowledge to the contrary) for purposes of the withholding tax discussed above and, under the current interpretation of United States Treasury regulations, for purposes of determining the applicability of a tax treaty rate. Under recently finalized United States Treasury regulations (the "Final Regulations"), a Non-U.S. Holder of our Class A Common Stock who wishes to claim the benefit of an applicable treaty rate (and avoid back-up withholding as discussed below) for dividends paid after December 31, 1999, will be required to satisfy applicable certification and other requirements.

A Non-U.S. Holder of our Class A Common Stock eligible for a reduced rate of United States withholding tax pursuant to an income tax treaty may obtain a refund of any excess amounts withheld by filing an appropriate claim for refund with the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS").

GAIN ON DISPOSITION OF COMMON STOCK

A Non-U.S. Holder will generally not be subject to United States federal income tax with respect to gain recognized on a sale or other disposition of our Class A Common Stock unless (i) the gain is effectively connected with a trade or business of the Non-U.S. Holder in the United States, and, where a tax treaty applies, is attributable to a United States permanent establishment of the Non-U.S. Holder, (ii) in the case of a Non-U.S. Holder who is an individual and holds our Class A Common Stock as a capital asset, such holder is present in the United States for 183 or more days in the taxable year of the sale or other disposition and certain other conditions are met or (iii) the Company is or has been a "U.S. real property holding corporation" for United States federal income tax purposes. The Company believes it is not and does not anticipate becoming a "U.S. real property holding corporation" for United States federal income tax purposes.

If an individual Non-U.S. Holder falls under clause (i) above, he will be taxed on his net gain derived from the sale under regular graduated United States federal income tax rates. If an individual Non-U.S. Holder falls under clause (ii) above, he will be subject to a flat 30% tax on the gain derived from the sale, which may be offset by certain United States capital losses.

If a Non-U.S. Holder that is a foreign corporation falls under clause (i) above, it will be taxed on its gain under regular graduated United States federal income tax rates and may be subject to an additional branch profits tax at a 30% rate, unless it qualifies for a lower rate under an applicable income tax treaty.

Special Rules may apply to certain Non-U.S. Holders, such as "controlled foreign corporations," "passive foreign investment companies" and "foreign personal holding companies" that are subject to special treatment under the Code. Such entities should consult their own tax advisors to determine the U.S. federal, state, local and other tax consequences that may be relevant to them.

FEDERAL ESTATE TAX

Class A Common Stock held by an individual Non-U.S. Holder at the time of death will be included in such holders' gross estate for United States federal estate tax purposes, unless an applicable estate tax treaty provides otherwise.

INFORMATION REPORTING AND BACKUP WITHHOLDING TAX

We must report annually to the IRS and to each Non-U.S. Holder the amount of dividends paid to such holder and the tax withheld with respect to such dividends, regardless of whether withholding was required. Copies of the information returns reporting such dividends and withholding may also be made available to the tax authorities in the country in which the Non-U.S. Holder resides under the provisions of an applicable income tax treaty.

A backup withholding tax is imposed at the rate of 31% on certain payments to persons that fail to furnish certain identifying information to the payor. Under current law, backup withholding generally will not apply to dividends paid to a Non-U.S. Holder at an address outside the United States (unless the payer has knowledge that the payee is a U.S. person). Under the Final Regulations, however, a Non-U.S. Holder will be subject to back-up withholding unless applicable certification requirements are met.

Payment of the proceeds of a sale of our Class A Common Stock by or through a United States office of a broker is subject to both backup withholding and information reporting unless the beneficial owner certifies under penalties of perjury that it is a Non-U.S. Holder, or otherwise establishes an exemption. In general, backup withholding and information reporting will not apply to a payment of the proceeds of a sale of our Class A Common Stock by or through a foreign office of a broker. If, however, such broker is, for United States federal income tax purposes a U.S. person, a controlled foreign corporation or a foreign person that derives 50% or more of its gross income for certain periods from the conduct of a trade or business in the United States or, for taxable years beginning after December 31, 1999, a foreign partnership, in which one or more United States persons, in the aggregate, own more than 50% of the income or capital interests in the partnership or if the partnership is engaged in a trade or business in the United States, such payments will not be subject to backup withholding, but will be subject to information reporting, unless (1) such broker has documentary evidence in its records that the beneficial owner is a Non-U.S. Holder and certain other conditions are met or (2) the beneficial owner otherwise establishes an exemption.

Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules generally will be allowed as a refund or a credit against such holder's U.S. federal income tax liability provided the required information is furnished in a timely manner to the IRS.

UNDERWRITING

Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated ("Merrill Lynch"), Raymond James & Associates, Inc. and ING Baring Furman Selz LLC are acting as representatives (the "Representatives") of each of the Underwriters named below (the "Underwriters"). Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in a purchase agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") among the Company, the Selling Shareholder and the Underwriters, the Company and the Selling Shareholder severally have agreed to sell to the Underwriters, and each of the Underwriters severally and not jointly has agreed to purchase from the Company and the Selling Shareholder, the number of shares of Class A Common Stock set forth opposite its name below.

UNDERWRITER	NUMBER OF SHARES
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated Raymond James & Associates, Inc ING Baring Furman Selz LLC. Lazard Freres & Co. LLC. Schroder & Co. Inc Legg Mason Wood Walker, Incorporated	1,258,000 1,258,000 444,000 80,000 80,000 40,000
Southeast Research Partners, Inc	40,000 3,200,000

In the Purchase Agreement, the several Underwriters have agreed, subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein, to purchase all of the shares of Class A Common Stock being sold pursuant to such agreement if any of the shares of Class A Common Stock being sold pursuant to such agreement are purchased. In the event of a default by an Underwriter, the Purchase Agreement provides that, in certain circumstances, the purchase commitments of the nondefaulting Underwriters may be increased or the Purchase Agreement may be terminated.

The Representatives have advised the Company and the Selling Shareholder that the Underwriters propose initially to offer the shares of Class A Common Stock to the public at the initial public offering price set forth on the cover page of this Prospectus, and to certain dealers at such price less a concession not in excess of \$.60 per share of Class A Common Stock. The Underwriters may allow, and such dealers may reallow, a discount not in excess of \$.10 per share of Class A Common Stock to certain other dealers. After the initial public offering, the public offering price, concession and discount may be changed.

The Company and the Selling Shareholder have granted an option to the Underwriters, exercisable for 30 days after the date of this Prospectus, to purchase up to an aggregate of an additional 240,000 shares and 240,000 shares, respectively, of Class A Common Stock at the public offering price set forth on the cover page of this Prospectus, less the underwriting discount. The Underwriters may exercise this option solely to cover over-allotments, if any, made on the sale of the Class A Common Stock offered hereby. To the extent that the Underwriters exercise this option, each Underwriter will be obligated, subject to certain conditions, to purchase a number of additional shares of Class A Common Stock proportionate to such Underwriter's initial amount reflected in the foregoing table.

The following table shows the per share and total public offering price, underwriting discount to be paid by the Company and the Selling Shareholder to the Underwriters and the proceeds before expenses to the Company and the Selling Shareholder. This information is presented assuming either no exercise or full exercise by the Underwriters of their over-allotment option.

	PER	WITHOUT	WITH
	SHARE	OPTION	OPTION
Public Offering Price	\$1.00	\$64,000,000	\$73,600,000
Underwriting Discount		\$3,200,000	\$3,680,000
Proceeds, before expenses, to the Company		\$56,240,000	\$60,800,000
Proceeds to the Selling Shareholder		\$4,560,000	\$9,120,000

The expenses of the offering (exclusive of the underwriting discount) are estimated at \$500,000 and are payable by the Company.

The shares of Class A Common Stock are being offered by the several Underwriters, subject to prior sale, when, as and if issued to and accepted by them, subject to approval of certain legal matters by counsel for the Underwriters and certain other conditions. The Underwriters reserve the right to withdraw, cancel or modify such offer and to reject orders in whole or in part.

The Company, the Company's executive officers and directors and the Selling Shareholder have agreed, subject to certain exceptions, not to directly or indirectly (i) offer, pledge, sell, contract to sell, sell any option or contract to purchase, purchase any option or contract to sell, grant any option, right or warrant for the sale of or otherwise dispose of or transfer any shares of Common Stock, Class A Common Stock or other equity stock of the Company or securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for or repayable with Common Stock, Class A Common Stock or other equity stock of the Company, whether now owned or thereafter acquired by the person executing the agreement or with respect to which the person executing the agreement thereafter acquires the power of disposition, or file a registration statement under the Securities Act with respect to the foregoing or (ii) enter into any swap or other agreement that transfers, in whole or in part, the economic consequences of ownership of the Common Stock, Class A Common Stock or other equity stock of the Company, whether any such swap or transaction is to be settled by delivery of Common Stock, Class A Common Stock, or other equity stock of the Company or other securities, in cash or otherwise, without the prior written consent of Merrill Lynch on behalf of the Underwriters for a period of 180 days after the date of this Prospectus.

The Company and the Selling Shareholder have agreed to indemnify the Underwriters against certain liabilities, including certain liabilities under the Securities Act, or to contribute to payments the Underwriters may be required to make in respect thereof.

Until the distribution of the Class A Common Stock is completed, rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission may limit the ability of the Underwriters and certain selling group members to bid for and purchase the Class A Common Stock. As an exception to these rules, the Representatives are permitted to engage in certain transactions that stabilize the price of the Class A Common Stock. Such transactions consist of bids or purchases for the purpose of pegging, fixing or maintaining the price of the Class A Common Stock.

If the Underwriters create a short position in the Class A Common Stock in connection with the offering, i.e., if they sell more shares of Class A Common Stock than are set forth on the cover page of this Prospectus, the Representatives may reduce that short position by purchasing Class A Common Stock in the open market. The Representatives may also elect to reduce any short position by exercising all or part of the over-allotment options described above.

In general, purchases of a security for the purpose of stabilization or to reduce a short position could cause the price of the security to be higher than it might be in the absence of such purchases.

Neither the Company nor any of the Underwriters makes any representation or prediction as to the direction or magnitude of any effect that the transactions described above may have on the price of the Class A Common Stock. In addition, neither the Company nor any of the Underwriters makes any representation that the Representatives will engage in such transactions or that such transactions, once commenced, will not be discontinued without notice.

Each Underwriter has agreed that (i) it has not offered or sold and, prior to the expiration of the period of six months from the Closing Date, will not offer to sell any shares of Class A Common Stock to persons in the United Kingdom, except to persons whose ordinary activities involve them in acquiring, holding, managing or disposing of investments (as principal or agent) for the purposes of their businesses or otherwise in circumstances which do not constitute an offer to the public in the United Kingdom within the meaning of the Public Offers of Securities Regulations 1995; (ii) it has complied and will comply with all applicable provisions of the Financial Services Act 1986 with respect to anything done by it in relation to the Class A Common Stock in, from or otherwise involving the United Kingdom; and (iii) it has only 57 issued or passed on and will only issue or pass on in the United Kingdom any document received by it in connection with the issuance of Class A Common Stock to a person who is of a kind described in Article 11(3) of the Financial Services Act of 1986 (Investment Advertisements) (Exemptions) Order 1996 or is a person to whom such document may otherwise lawfully be issued or passed on.

No action has been or will be taken in any jurisdiction (except in the United States) that would permit a public offering of the shares of Class A Common Stock, or the possession, circulation or distribution of this Prospectus or any other material relating to the Company, the Selling Shareholder or shares of Class A Common Stock in any jurisdiction where action for that purpose is required. Accordingly, the shares of Class A Common Stock may not be offered or sold, directly or indirectly, and neither this Prospectus nor any other offering material or advertisements in connection with the shares of Class A Common Stock may be distributed or published, in or from any country or jurisdiction except in compliance with any applicable rules and regulations of any such country or jurisdiction.

Purchasers of the shares offered hereby may be required to pay stamp taxes and other charges in accordance with the laws and practices of the country of purchase in addition to the offering price set forth on the cover page hereof.

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the Class A Common Stock offered hereby will be passed upon for the Company by Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Miami, Florida. Certain legal matters relating to the Class A Common Stock offered hereby will be passed upon for the Underwriters by Shearman & Sterling, New York, New York.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements appearing in and incorporated in this Prospectus by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1998 have been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, independent auditors, as stated in their report appearing in and incorporated herein by reference, and have been so incorporated in reliance upon the report of such firm given upon their authority as experts in accounting and auditing.

The financial statements of McClain International, Inc., at December 31, 1996 and 1997, for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 1997, incorporated by reference in this Prospectus, have been audited by Pyke & Pierce, independent certified public accountants, as set forth in their report incorporated by reference herein, and are incorporated herein in reliance upon the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The Company is subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") and in accordance therewith files periodic reports and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission"). Reports, proxy and information statements and other information filed by the Company may be inspected and copies may be obtained (at prescribed rates) at the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, as well as the following Regional Offices of the Commission: Seven World Trade Center, 13th Floor, New York, New York 10048 and at Northwest Atrium Center, 500 West Madison Street, Suite 1400, Chicago, Illinois 60661-2511. Copies of such material can also be obtained by mail from the Public Reference Section, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington D.C. 20549, upon payment of prescribed rates. In addition, electronically filed documents, including reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding the Company, can be obtained from the Commission's Web site at: http://www.sec.gov.

The Company has filed a Registration Statement on Form S-3 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") with respect to the Class A Common Stock offered hereby (the

"Registration Statement"). This Prospectus does not contain all the information set forth in the Registration Statement and the exhibits and schedules thereto. For further information with respect to the Company and such Class A Common Stock offered hereby, reference is made to the Registration Statement and the exhibits, schedules and reports filed as part thereof. Statements contained in the Prospectus with respect to the contents of any contract or other document filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement are not necessarily complete, and in each such instance reference is made to the copy of such contract or other document filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement. Each such statement is qualified in all respects by such reference to such exhibit. Copies of all or any part of the Registration Statement, including the documents incorporated by reference therein or exhibits thereto, may be obtained upon payment of the prescribed rates at the offices of the Commission set forth above.

INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS BY REFERENCE

The following documents filed by the Company with the Commission pursuant to the Exchange Act are hereby incorporated by reference in this Prospectus:

 The Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1998;

(2) The description of the Common Stock contained in the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A dated January 27, 1999;

(3) The description of the Class A Common Stock contained in the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A dated January 27, 1999;

(4) The Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated December 22, 1998, as amended by the Form 8-K/A, dated January 15, 1999;

(5) The description of the Preferred Stock Purchase Rights contained in the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A dated January 27, 1999; and

(6) Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated August 4, 1998.

All documents filed by the Company pursuant to sections 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act subsequent to the date of this Prospectus and prior to the termination of the offering of the Class A Common Stock shall be deemed to be incorporated by reference in this Prospectus. Any statement contained herein or in a document incorporated or deemed to be incorporated by reference herein shall be deemed to be modified or superseded for purposes of this Prospectus to the extent that a statement contained in any subsequently filed document which also is or is deemed to be incorporated by reference herein modifies or supersedes such statement. Any such statement so modified or superseded shall not be deemed, except as so modified or superseded, to constitute a part of this Prospectus.

The Company will provide without charge to each person to whom a Prospectus is delivered, upon written or oral request of such person, a copy of any and all of the information that has been incorporated by reference in this Prospectus (excluding exhibits unless such exhibits are specifically incorporated by reference into such documents). Please direct such requests to the Chief Financial Officer, HEICO Corporation, 3000 Taft Street, Hollywood, Florida, 33021, telephone number (954) 987-4000.

HEICO CORPORATION

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	PAGE
Independent Auditors' Report	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets at October 31, 1998 and 1997	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended	
October 31, 1998, 1997 and 1996	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the	
years ended October 31, 1998, 1997 and 1996 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended	F-2
October 31, 1998, 1997 and 1996	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	

F-1

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of HEICO Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of HEICO Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of October 31, 1998 and 1997, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 1998. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of October 31, 1998 and 1997, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 1998, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP Certified Public Accountants

Miami, Florida December 30, 1998

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS OCTOBER 31, 1998 AND 1997

	1998	1997
ASSETS		
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Short-term investments	\$ 8,609,000 2,051,000	\$24,199,000
Accounts receivable, net Inventories Prepaid expenses and other current assets	19,422,000 24,327,000 1,768,000	12,560,000 18,359,000 1,500,000
Deferred income taxes	2,010,000	1,098,000
Total current assets Property, plant and equipment, net	58,187,000 14,795,000	57,716,000 8,543,000
Intangible assets, net Unexpended bond proceeds Deferred income taxes	53,964,000 2,252,000 495,000	13,258,000 5,437,000 394,000
Other assets	3,368,000	2,828,000
Total assets	\$133,061,000 ======	\$88,176,000 ======
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUIT Current liabilities:	Ϋ́	
Current maturities of long-term debt Trade accounts payable	\$ 377,000 6,158,000	\$ 342,000 4,180,000
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities Income taxes payable	10,401,000 664,000	6,680,000 1,383,000
Total current liabilities Long-term debt, net of current maturities	17,600,000 30,143,000	12,585,000 10,458,000
Other non-current liabilities	2,819,000	2,414,000
Total liabilities	50,562,000	25,457,000
Minority interest in consolidated subsidiary	14,892,000	3,273,000
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 2, 5 and 15) Shareholders' equity: Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share; Authorized 10,000,000 shares issuable in series, 200,000 designated as Series A Junior Participating		
Preferred Stock, none issued Common stock, \$.01 par value; Authorized 30,000,000 shares; Issued and Outstanding 8,323,036 shares in 1998		
and 8,283,493 in 1997 Class A Common stock, \$.01 par value; Authorized 30,000,000 shares; Issued and Outstanding	83,000	83,000
4,140,404 shares in 1998 Capital in excess of par value	41,000 34,474,000	 35,533,000
Unrealized loss on investments Retained earnings	(1,142,000) 36,649,000	 26,772,000
Less: Note receivable from employee savings and investment	70,105,000	62,388,000
plan	(2,498,000)	(2,942,000)
Total shareholders' equity	67,607,000	59,446,000
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$133,061,000 =====	\$88,176,000 =====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED OCTOBER 31, 1998, 1997 AND 1996

	1998	1997	1996
Net sales	\$95,351,000	\$63,674,000	\$34,565,000
Operating costs and expenses: Cost of sales Selling, general and administrative expenses	59,247,000 17,140,000	43,045,000 11,515,000	22,396,000 7,657,000
Total operating costs and expenses	76,387,000	54,560,000	30,053,000
Operating income Interest expense Interest and other income	18,964,000 (984,000) 2,062,000	9,114,000 (477,000) 1,722,000	4,512,000 (185,000) 1,058,000
Income from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interest Income tax expense	20,042,000 6,914,000	10,359,000 3,340,000	5,385,000 1,720,000
Income from continuing operations before minority interest Minority interest	13,128,000 2,619,000		3,665,000
<pre>Income from continuing operations Discontinued operations (Note 13): Income from discontinued health care operations, net of applicable income taxes of \$717,000 Gain on sale of health care operations, net of</pre>		7,019,000	
applicable income taxes of \$1,719,000			5,264,000
Net income	\$10,509,000 ======	\$ 7,019,000	\$ 9,892,000 ======
Basic income per share: From continuing operations From discontinued health care operations From gain on sale of health care operations	\$.84 	\$.58 	\$.31 .08 .45
Net income per share	\$.84	\$.58	\$.84
Diluted income per share: From continuing operations From discontinued health care operations From gain on sale of health care operations	\$.68 	\$.49 	\$.28 .07 .40
Net income per share		\$.49 =========	\$.75
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: Basic	12,499,079	12,040,359	11,679,584
Diluted	15,540,620	14,418,308	13,282,089

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE YEARS ENDED OCTOBER 31, 1998, 1997 AND 1996

	COMMON STOCK	CLASS A COMMON STOCK	CAPITAL IN EXCESS OF PAR VALUE	UNREALIZED LOSS ON INVESTMENTS	RETAINED EARNINGS	NOTE RECEIVABLE	TOTAL
Balances, October 31, 1995 Exercise of stock options Payment on note receivable from employee savings and investment	\$28,000 2,000		\$ 8,371,000 1,562,000		\$25,439,000 	\$(3,692,000) 	\$30,146,000 1,564,000
plan Cash dividends (\$.038 per share) Three-for-two Common Stock split					(475,000)	353,000	353,000 (475,000)
distri-buted April 24, 1996 10% Common Stock dividend paid July	14,000		(14,000)				
26, 1996 10% Common Stock dividend paid	4,000		10,827,000		(10,831,000)		
January 17, 1997	5,000		10,127,000		(10,132,000)		
Other			8,000				8,000
Net income for the year					9,892,000		9,892,000
Balances, October 31, 1996	53,000		30,881,000		13,893,000	(3,339,000)	41,488,000
Exercise of stock options Payment on note receivable from employee savings and investment	1,000		1,117,000				1,118,000
plan						397,000	397,000
Cash dividends (\$.045 per share)					(548,000)		(548,000)
Stock issued in acquisition Excess of purchase price over book value on sale of minority	2,000		3,542,000				3,544,000
interest Three-for-two Common Stock split					6,427,000		6,427,000
distri-buted December 16, 1997	27,000		(27,000)				
Other	,		20,000		(19,000)		1,000
Net income for the year					7,019,000		7,019,000
Balances, October 31, 1997 Distribution of one share of Class A Common Stock for each two shares of	83,000		35,533,000		26,772,000	(2,942,000)	59,446,000
Common Stock made April 23, 1998 Repurchase of stock (58,300 shares of Common Stock and 75,400 shares of		42,000	(42,000)				
Class A Common Stock)	(1,000)	(1,000)	(2,036,000)				(2,038,000)
Unrealized loss on investments Exercise of stock options (115,270 shares of Common Stock and 38,675				(1,142,000)			(1,142,000)
shares of Class A Common Stock) Payment on note receivable from employee savings and investment	1,000		956,000				957,000
plan Cash dividends (\$.05 per share)					(643,000)	444,000	444,000 (643,000)
Other			63,000		(843,000)		(843,000)
Net income for the year			03,000		10,509,000		10,509,000
Balances, October 31, 1998	\$83,000	\$41,000	\$34,474,000	\$(1,142,000)	\$36,649,000	\$(2,498,000)	\$67,607,000 ======

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED OCTOBER 31, 1998, 1997 AND 1996

	1998	1997	1996
Cash flows from encepting activities.			
Cash flows from operating activities: Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:	\$10,509,000	\$ 7,019,000	\$ 9,892,000
Gain from sale of health care operations Depreciation and amortization Deferred income taxes Deferred financing costs Minority interest in consolidated subsidiary	2,761,000 (342,000) (1,039,000) 2,619,000	1,624,000 (486,000) (144,000)	(5,264,000) 2,107,000 (1,048,000) (159,000)
Change in assets and liabilities: (Increase) decrease in accounts receivable (Increase) in inventories (Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other	(3,822,000) (4,642,000)	(2,713,000) (2,912,000)	166,000 (3,283,000)
current assets	(182,000) (229,000)	(605,000) (222,000)	111,000
and other current liabilities	4,653,000 (961,000) 	(215,000) 118,000 266,000	(14,000) (983,000) 251,000
Other	214,000	(14,000)	(84,000)
Net cash provided by operating activities	9,539,000	1,716,000	1,692,000
Cash flows from investing activities: Acquisitions:			
Purchases of businesses, net of cash acquired Contingent note payments of discontinued health care	(45,627,000)	(6,737,000)	(6,555,000)
operations Proceeds from sale of health care operations, net of cash			(1,106,000)
sold of \$304,000 (Purchase) sale of short-term investments Purchases of property, plant and equipment Payment received from employee savings and investment plan	 (3,864,000) (6,171,000)	 (3,551,000)	13,524,000 2,939,000 (3,227,000)
note receivable Sale of note receivable	444,000	397,000 10,000,000	353,000
Other	(171,000)	(268,000)	(371,000)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(55,389,000)	(159,000)	5,557,000
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt:	25 000 000		
Proceeds from revolving credit facility Bond reimbursement proceeds Other Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	25,000,000 3,384,000 95,000 957,000	1,427,000 845,000 1,118,000	 851,000 492,000 1,525,000
Repurchases of common stock Principal payments on long-term debt Cash dividends paid Proceeds from sale of minority interest, net of expenses	(2,038,000) (5,493,000) (643,000) 	 (926,000) (548,000) 9,700,000	(3,289,000) (475,000)
Additional minority interest investments Other	9,000,000 (2,000)	1,000	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	30,260,000	11,617,000	(888,000)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	(15,590,000) 24,199,000	13,174,000 11,025,000	6,361,000 4,664,000
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 8,609,000 =====	\$24,199,000	\$11,025,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial

statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED OCTOBER 31, 1998, 1997 AND 1996

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

NATURE OF BUSINESS

HEICO Corporation (the Company), through its principal subsidiaries HEICO Aerospace Holdings Corp. (HEICO Aerospace) and HEICO Aviation Products Corp. (HEICO Aviation) and their subsidiaries, is engaged in the design, manufacture and sale of aerospace products and services throughout the United States and abroad. HEICO Aerospace's subsidiaries include HEICO Aerospace Corporation, Jet Avion Corporation (Jet Avion), LPI Industries Corporation (LPI), Aircraft Technology, Inc. (Aircraft Technology), Northwings Accessories Corporation (Northwings), McClain International, Inc. (McClain) (Note 2), Associated Composite, Inc. (ACI) (Note 2) and Rogers-Dierks, Inc. acquired December 1998 (Note 2). HEICO Aviation's subsidiary is Trilectron Industries, Inc. (Trilectron). The Company's customer base is primarily the commercial airline industry. As of October 31, 1998, the Company's principal operations are located in Atlanta, Georgia and Hollywood, Miami and Palmetto, Florida.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries, all of which are wholly-owned except for HEICO Aerospace, of which a 20% interest was sold to Lufthansa Technik AG (Lufthansa) in October 1997 (see Note 2). All significant intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated.

USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

For purposes of the consolidated financial statements, the Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

INVENTORIES

Portions of the inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost being determined on the first-in, first-out basis. The remaining portions of the inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, on a per contract basis, with estimated total contract costs being allocated ratably to all units. The effects of changes in estimated total contract costs are recognized in the period determined. Losses, if any, are recognized fully when identified.

PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation and amortization is provided mainly on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the various assets. Property, plant and equipment useful lives are as follows:

Buildings and components	7	to	55 years	;
Building and leasehold improvements	3	to	15 years	;
Machinery and equipment	3	to	20 years	;

F-7

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

The costs of major renewals and betterments are capitalized. Repairs and maintenance are charged to operations as incurred. Upon disposition, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any related gain or loss is reflected in earnings.

INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets include the excess of cost over the fair value of net assets acquired and deferred charges which are amortized on the straight-line method over their legal or estimated useful lives, whichever is shorter, as follows:

The Company reviews the carrying value of the excess of cost over the fair value of net assets acquired (goodwill) for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that it may not be recoverable. An impairment would be recognized in operating results, based upon the difference between each consolidated entities' respective present value of future cash flows and the carrying value of the goodwill, if a permanent diminution in value were to occur.

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses and other current liabilities approximate fair value due to the relatively short maturity of the respective instruments. The carrying value of long-term debt approximates fair market value due to its floating interest rates.

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of temporary cash investments and trade receivables. The Company places its temporary cash investments with high credit quality financial institutions and limits the amount of credit exposure to any one financial institution. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables are limited due to the large number of customers comprising the Company's customer base, and their dispersion across many different geographical regions.

REVENUE RECOGNITION

Revenue is recognized on an accrual basis, primarily upon shipment of products and the rendering of services. Certain contracts of Trilectron are long-term contracts and the related net costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings, if any, are included in accounts receivable on a percentage of completion basis.

INCOME TAXES

Deferred income taxes are provided on elements of income that are recognized for financial accounting purposes in periods different from such items recognized for income tax purposes in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes."

NET INCOME PER SHARE

Basic net income per share is calculated on the basis of the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period, excluding dilution. Diluted net income per share is computed on the basis of the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period plus common share equivalents arising from the assumed exercise of stock options, if dilutive. The dilutive impact of common share

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

equivalents is determined by applying the treasury stock method. Per share information for fiscal 1997 and 1996 have been restated in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 128, "Earnings Per Share."

STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

Effective November 1, 1996, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123, "Stock Based Compensation." This statement requires the Company to choose between two different methods of accounting for stock options. The statement defines a fair-value-based method of accounting for stock options but allows an entity to continue to measure compensation cost for stock options using the intrinsic value method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." The Company has elected to continue using the accounting methods prescribed by APB No. 25 and to provide in Note 10 the pro forma disclosures required by SFAS No. 123.

NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In June 1997, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income." SFAS No. 130 establishes standards for reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components in a full set of general purpose financial statements. SFAS No. 130 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997. Adoption of this statement will not impact the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, and any effect will be limited to the form and content of its disclosures. The Company intends to adopt the provisions of this statement in fiscal 1999.

In June 1997, the FASB issued SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information." SFAS No. 131, establishes standards for the way that public companies report selected information about operating segments in annual financial statements and requires that those companies report selected information about segments in interim financial reports issued to shareholders. It also establishes standards for related disclosures about products and services, geographic areas, and major customers. SFAS No. 131 is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997, with earlier application permitted. Adoption of this statement will not impact the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, and any effect will be limited to the form and content of its disclosures. The Company intends to adopt the provisions of this statement in fiscal 1999.

In February 1998, the FASB issued SFAS No. 132, "Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits." SFAS 132 standardizes the disclosure requirements of SFAS 87, 88 and 106 to the extent practicable and recommends a parallel format for presenting information about pensions and other postretirement benefits. SFAS 132 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997. Adoption of this statement will not impact the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, and any effect will be limited to the form and content of its disclosures. The Company intends to adopt the provisions of this statement in fiscal 1999.

2. STRATEGIC ALLIANCE AND ACQUISITIONS

STRATEGIC ALLIANCE AND SALE OF MINORITY INTEREST IN CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARY

In October 1997, the Company entered into a strategic alliance with Lufthansa, the technical services subsidiary of Lufthansa German Airlines, whereby Lufthansa agreed to invest approximately \$26 million in HEICO Aerospace, including \$10 million paid at closing pursuant to a stock purchase agreement and approximately \$16 million to be paid to HEICO Aerospace over three years pursuant to a research and development cooperation agreement, which will partially fund accelerated development of additional

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (CONTINUED)

Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved replacement parts for jet engines. The funds received as a result of the research and development cooperation agreement reduce research and development expenses in the period such expenses are incurred. In addition, Lufthansa and HEICO Aerospace have agreed to cooperate regarding technical services and marketing support for jet engine parts on a worldwide basis.

As part of the strategic alliance, the Company sold 20% of HEICO Aerospace (200 shares) with an approximate book value of \$3,273,000 to Lufthansa for \$10 million. The Company's accounting policy is to treat the sale of a subsidiary's stock as an equity transaction, recording the difference between the purchase price, net of transaction costs incurred, and book value of the subsidiary, to the subsidiary's retained earnings. As a result of this sale, \$6,427,000 was recorded as an increase to the retained earnings of the Company in the consolidated financial statements.

In connection with subsequent acquisitions by HEICO Aerospace, Lufthansa invested additional amounts pursuant to its option to maintain a 20% equity interest as described below.

ACQUISITIONS

In September 1996, the Company, through a subsidiary, acquired effective as of September 1, 1996 all of the outstanding stock of Trilectron for \$6.6 million in cash and the assumption of debt aggregating \$2.3 million. Trilectron is a leading manufacturer of ground support equipment for civil and military aircraft and a designer and manufacturer of certain military electronics.

The acquisition of Trilectron has been accounted using the purchase method of accounting and the purchase price has been assigned to the net assets acquired based on the fair value of such assets and liabilities at the date of acquisition. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired amounted to \$2,838,000, which is being amortized over 20 years using the straight line method. The results of operations of Trilectron are included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations from September 1, 1996.

Pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company, through a subsidiary, acquired effective as of September 1, 1997 all of the outstanding stock of Northwings. In consideration of this acquisition, the Company paid approximately \$6.7 million in cash and 232,360 shares of the Company's common stock, having an aggregate fair value of approximately \$3.5 million. Northwings is an FAA-authorized overhaul and repair facility servicing aircraft engine components and airframe accessories.

The acquisition of Northwings has been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and the purchase price has been assigned to the net assets acquired based on the fair value of such assets and liabilities at the date of acquisition. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired amounted to \$8,395,000, which is being amortized over 20 years using the straight line method. The results of operations of Northwings are included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations from September 1, 1997.

On July 31, 1998, the Company, through a subsidiary, acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of McClain, located in Atlanta, GA. In consideration of this acquisition, the Company paid approximately \$41 million in cash. The Company also purchased from one of McClain's selling shareholders, McClain's headquarters and manufacturing facility for \$2.5 million in cash. The purchase price will be adjusted based on the final determination of the actual net worth of McClain as of July 31, 1998. McClain designs, manufactures and overhauls FAA-approved aircraft jet engine replacement components.

The source of the purchase price was \$10 million from available funds, \$9 million from an additional minority interest investment by Lufthansa and \$25 million from proceeds of a \$120 million revolving credit facility (see Note 4).

F-10

The acquisition of McClain has been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. The purchase price has been assigned to the net assets acquired based on the fair value of such assets and liabilities at the date of acquisition. The excess of purchase price over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired amounted to \$37.7 million, which is being amortized over 30 years using the straight line method. Results of operations of McClain are included in the Company's results effective August 1, 1998.

On October 19, 1998, the Company, through a subsidiary, acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of ACI for \$1.3 million in cash. The purchase price will be adjusted based on the final determination of the actual net worth of ACI as of October 19, 1998. ACI is an FAA-licensed repair and overhaul company. The source of the purchase price was from available funds. The acquisition has been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. The purchase price has been assigned to the net assets acquired based on the fair value of such assets and liabilities at the date of acquisition. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired was insignificant. Results of operations for ACI are included in the Company's results effective October 20, 1998.

The costs of each acquisition have been allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values at the date of acquisition as determined by management. The allocation of the costs of acquisitions of McClain and ACI is preliminary while the Company obtains final information regarding the fair values of all assets acquired; however, management believes that any adjustments to the amounts allocated will not have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Effective December 4, 1998, the Company, through a subsidiary, acquired substantially all of the assets of Rogers-Dierks. In consideration of this acquisition, the Company paid \$14.1 million in cash at the closing, and committed to pay \$1.1 million in deferred payments over the next two years. The source of the purchase price was proceeds from the Company's \$120 million revolving credit facility. Subject to meeting certain earnings objectives, the former shareholders' of Rogers-Dierks could receive additional consideration of up to \$7.3 million payable in cash or shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock. The purchase price will be adjusted based on the final determination of the actual net worth of the net assets acquired as of December 4, 1998. This acquisition is being accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and the results of operations of Rogers-Dierks will be included in the Company's results effective December 4, 1998.

Rogers-Dierks formerly designed and manufactured FAA-approved, factory-new jet engine replacement parts for sale to commercial airlines. The Company intends to continue to use the acquired assets for the same purposes as formerly used by Rogers-Dierks.

Subsequent to the closing of the transaction, Lufthansa made an additional investment of 33 million in HEICO Aerospace representing 20% of the initial cash consideration.

The following table presents unaudited pro forma consolidated operating results as if the Company's sale of a 20% minority interest in HEICO Aerospace to Lufthansa and its acquisitions of Northwings, McClain and Rogers-Dierks had been consummated as of November 1, 1996. The pro forma impact of ACI is not significant. The unaudited pro forma results include adjustments to historical amounts including additional amortization of the excess of costs over the fair value of net assets acquired, increased interest on borrowings to finance the acquisitions, discontinuance of certain compensation previously paid by the acquired companies to their shareholders, reduced investment income on available funds used to finance the acquisitions, and the incremental minority interest of Lufthansa in the net income of the acquired companies. The unaudited pro forma consolidated operating results for fiscal 1997 do not include any income received from the aforementioned research and development cooperation agreement with Lufthansa or the gain on the sale of the 20% minority interest referenced above. The pro forma consolidated operating results do not purport to present actual operating results had the acquisition been made at the beginning of fiscal 1997, or the results which may occur in the future.

	1998	1997
Net sales	\$112,421,000	\$89,805,000
Income before minority interest	\$ 15,576,000	\$10,788,000
Minority interest	\$ (3,438,000)	\$(2,944,000)
Net income	\$ 12,138,000	\$ 7,844,000
Net income per share: Basic	\$ 0.97	\$ 0.64
Diluted	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.53

3. SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS

Short-term investments consist of equity securities with an aggregate cost of \$3,864,000 as of October 31, 1998. These investments are classified as available-for-sale and stated at a fair value of \$2,051,000 as of October 31, 1998. The gross unrealized losses were \$1,813,000 as of October 31, 1998. There were no short-term investments during the year ended October 31, 1997. Unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred taxes, are reflected as an adjustment to shareholders' equity. Gross realized gains on sales of securities classified as available-for-sale, using the average cost method, were \$288,000 for fiscal 1998. There were no realized losses during these periods.

4. CREDIT FACILITIES AND LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of:

	OCTOBER 31,		
	1998		
Borrowings under revolving credit facility Industrial Development Revenue Bonds Series 1997A Industrial Development Revenue Bonds Series 1997C and 1997B Industrial Development Revenue Bonds Series 1996 Industrial Development Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 1988	\$20,000,000 3,000,000 995,000 3,500,000 1,980,000	\$ 3,000,000 1,000,000 3,500,000 1,980,000	
Equipment loans	1,045,000	1,320,000	
Less current maturities	30,520,000 (377,000)	10,800,000 (342,000)	
	\$30,143,000	\$10,458,000	

The amount of long-term debt maturing in each of the next five years is \$377,000 in fiscal 1999, \$328,000 in fiscal 2000, \$1,476,000 in fiscal 2001, \$5,114,000 in fiscal 2002, \$5,000,000 in 2003 and \$18,225,000 thereafter. The amount of long-term debt maturing in each of the next five years assumes the outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility of \$20,000,000 will be converted to term loans in July 2001 and amortized over a four year period in accordance with the terms of the facility.

REVOLVING CREDIT FACILITY

In July 1998, the Company entered into a \$120 million revolving credit facility (Credit Facility) with a bank syndicate replacing its \$7 million credit facility. Funds are available for funding acquisitions, working capital and general corporate requirements on a revolving basis through July 2001. The Credit Facility may be extended by mutual consent through July 2003. The Company has the option to convert outstanding advances to term loans amortizing over a five year period, with a maximum Credit Facility

term of seven years. Outstanding borrowings bear interest at the Company's choice of prime rate or London Interbank Offering Rates (LIBOR) plus applicable margins. The applicable margins range from .00% to .50% for prime rate borrowings and from .75% to 2.00% for LIBOR based borrowings depending on the leverage ratio of the Company. A fee of .20% to .40% is charged on the amount of the unused commitment depending on the leverage ratio of the Company. The Credit Facility is secured by all the assets, excluding real estate, of the Company and its subsidiaries and contains covenants which, among other things, requires the maintenance of certain working capital, leverage and debt service ratios as well as minimum net worth requirements. At October 31, 1998, the Company had a total of \$20 million borrowed under the Credit Facility at an interest rate of 6.38%, which was borrowed to partially fund the acquisition of McClain (Note 2).

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BONDS

The industrial development revenue bonds represent bonds issued by Manatee County, Florida in 1997 (the 1997 bonds), and bonds issued by Broward County, Florida in 1996 (the 1996 bonds) and in 1988 (the 1988 bonds).

The Series 1997A and 1997B bonds were issued in March 1997 in the amounts of \$3,000,000 and \$1,000,000, respectively, for the purpose of constructing and purchasing equipment for a new facility in Palmetto, Florida. In November 1997, the Series 1997B bonds were refinanced by the issuance of Series 1997C bonds. As of October 31, 1998 and 1997, the Company had been reimbursed \$3,384,000 and \$80,000 for such expenditures, and the balance of the unexpended bond proceeds of \$785,000 and \$4,044,000, respectively, including investment earnings, was held by the trustee and is available for future qualified expenditures. The Series 1997A and 1997C bonds bear interest at variable rates calculated weekly (3.25% at October 31, 1998). The 1997A and 1997C bonds are due March 2017 and are secured by a letter of credit expiring in March 2004 and a mortgage on the related properties pledged as collateral. The letter of credit requires annual sinking fund payments of \$200,000 beginning in March 1998.

The 1996 bonds are due October 2011 and bear interest at a variable rate calculated weekly (3.20% at October 31, 1998). The 1996 bonds are secured by a letter of credit expiring in October 2001 and a mortgage on the related properties pledged as collateral. The letter of credit requires annual sinking fund payments beginning October 2000 in the amount of \$187,500. As of October 31, 1998 and 1997, the balance of the unexpended bond proceeds of \$1,467,000 and \$1,393,000, respectively, including investment earnings, was held by the trustee and is available for future qualified expenditures.

The 1988 bonds are due April 2008 and bear interest at a variable rate calculated weekly (3.05% at October 31, 1998). The 1988 bonds are secured by a letter of credit expiring in February 1999, a bond sinking fund (\$8,250 payable monthly) and a mortgage on the related properties pledged as collateral.

EQUIPMENT LOAN FACILITY

In March 1994, a bank committed to advance up to \$2,000,000 through December 1998, as amended, for the purpose of purchasing equipment to be used in the Company's operations. Each term loan is limited to 80% of the purchase price of the related equipment and is repayable up to a maximum of 60 months with interest at a rate equal to prime rate (as defined). The term loans are secured by collateral representing the related purchased equipment. Equipment loans beared interest at rates ranging from 8.25% to 8.75% as of October 31, 1998.

5. LEASE COMMITMENTS

The Company leases certain property and equipment, including manufacturing facilities and office equipment under operating leases. Some of these leases provide the Company with the option after the

initial lease term either to purchase the property at the then fair market value or renew its lease at the then fair rental value. Generally, management expects that leases will be renewed or replaced by other leases in the normal course of business.

Minimum payments for operating leases having initial or remaining noncancelable terms in excess of one year are as follows:

Year ending October 31,		
1999	\$	521,000
2000		451,000
2001		327,000
2002		208,000
2003		161,000
After 2003		107,000
Total minimum lease commitments	\$1	,775,000
	==:	

Total rent expense charged to continuing operations for operating leases in fiscal 1998, fiscal 1997 and fiscal 1996 amounted to \$319,000, \$240,000 and \$166,000, respectively. Included in the fiscal 1998 and 1997 rent expense was approximately \$73,000 and \$12,000, respectively, paid to a related party for the month-to-month lease of the Northwings facility.

6. INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes on income from continuing operations for each of the three years ended October 31, is as follows:

	1998	1997	1996
Current:			
Federal	\$6,687,000	\$3,468,000	\$ 4,084,000
State	569,000	358,000	459,000
	7,256,000	3,826,000	4,543,000
Deferred	(342,000)	(486,000)	(387,000)
Total income tax expense	6,914,000	3,340,000	4,156,000
Less income taxes for discontinued health care operations			(2,436,000)
Income taxes on income from continuing			
operations	\$6,914,000	\$3,340,000	\$ 1,720,000

A deferred tax benefit of \$671,000, relating to gross unrealized losses on available-for-sale equity securities, was recorded as an adjustment to shareholders' equity in fiscal 1998.

The following table reconciles the federal statutory tax rate to the Company's effective rate for continuing operations:

	1998	1997	1996
Federal statutory tax rate State taxes, less applicable federal income tax reduction Tax benefits on export sales Tax benefits from tax free investments Nondeductible amortization of intangible assets Other, net	2.1 (2.1) (.2) .8	(3.6) (1.0)	34.0% 2.3 (5.1) (1.1) .3 1.5
Effective tax rate	34.5%	 32.2% ====	 31.9% ====

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities as of October 31, 1998, 1997 and 1996 are as follows:

	OCTOBER 31,			
		1997		
Deferred tax assets: Inventory Bad debt allowances Deferred compensation liability Vacation accruals Customer rebates and credits. Retirement plan liability Warranty accruals Unrealized loss on short-term investments Other.	<pre>\$ 486,000 119,000 586,000 222,000 511,000 183,000 243,000 671,000</pre>	113,000	,	
Total deferred tax assets	3,021,000	1,955,000		
Deferred tax liabilities: Accelerated depreciation Intangible asset amortization Retirement plan liability Other	176,000 	436,000 22,000 	345,000 (127,000) (8,000)	
Total deferred tax liabilities	516,000 \$2,505,000	463,000 \$1,492,000	\$ 921,000	

7. STOCK DIVIDENDS AND SPLITS

In December 1996, June 1996 and December 1995, the Company's Board of Directors declared 10% stock dividends that were paid in January 1997, July 1996 and February 1996, respectively. In March 1996 and November 1997, the Company's Board of Directors declared three-for-two stock splits that were distributed in April 1996 and December 1997, respectively. In March 1998, the Company's Board of Directors declared a stock distribution payable of one share of newly-authorized Class A Common Stock to each shareholder of Common Stock for each two shares of Common Stock held. The Class A Common Stock distribution was made on April 23, 1998 to shareholders of record on April 9, 1998. The 10% stock dividends were valued based on the closing market prices of the Company's stock as of the respective

declaration dates. All income per share, dividend per share, stock options and common shares outstanding information has been retroactively restated to reflect these stock dividends and splits.

8. PREFERRED STOCK PURCHASE RIGHTS PLAN

In November 1993, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Board of Directors on such date, the Board declared a distribution of one Preferred Stock Purchase Right (the Rights) for each outstanding share of common stock of the Company. The Rights trade with the common stock and are not exercisable or transferable apart from the Common Stock and Class A Common Stock until after a person or group either acquires 15% or more of the outstanding common stock or commences or announces an intention to commence a tender offer for 30% or more of the outstanding common stock. Absent either of the aforementioned events transpiring, the Rights will expire at the close of business on November 2, 2003.

The Rights have certain anti-takeover effects and, therefore, will cause substantial dilution to a person or group who attempts to acquire the Company on terms not approved by the Company's Board of Directors or who acquires 15% or more of the outstanding common stock without approval of the Company's Board of Directors. The Rights should not interfere with any merger or other business combination approved by the Board since they may be redeemed by the Company at \$.01 per Right at any time until the close of business on the tenth day after a person or group has obtained beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the outstanding common stock or until a person commences or announces an intention to commence a tender offer for 30% or more of the outstanding common stock.

9. COMMON STOCK AND CLASS A COMMON STOCK

Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote per share. Each share of Class A Common Stock is entitled to a 1/10 vote per share. Holders of the Company's Common Stock and Class A Common Stock are entitled to receive when, as and if declared by the Board of Directors dividends and other distributions payable in cash, property, stock, or otherwise. In the event of liquidation, after payment of debts and other liabilities of the Company, and after making provision for the holders of preferred stock, if any, the remaining assets of the Company will be distributable ratably among the holders of all classes of common stock.

10. STOCK OPTIONS

The Company currently has two stock option plans, the 1993 Stock Option Plan (1993 Plan) and the Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan (NQSOP). In March 1998, March 1997 and March 1996, shareholders of the Company approved increases in the number of shares issuable pursuant to the 1993 Plan by 586,865, 596,421 and 565,151, respectively. In September 1996, the Board of Directors reserved 157,905 shares for the issuance of non-qualified stock options in conjunction with the purchase of Trilectron. Under the terms of the plans, a total of 2,807,122 Common and 1,589,748 Class A Common shares of the Company's stock are reserved for issuance to directors, officers and key employees as of October 31, 1998. Options issued under the 1993 Plan may be designated incentive stock options (ISO) or non-qualified stock options (NQSO). ISOs are granted at not less than 100% of the fair market value at the date of grant (110% thereof in certain cases) and are exercisable in percentages specified at date of grant over a period up to ten years. Only employees are eligible to receive ISOs. NQSOs may be granted at less than fair market value and may be immediately exercisable. Options granted under the NQSOP may be granted to directors, officers and employees at no less than the fair market value at the date of grant and are generally exercisable in four equal annual installments commencing one year from date of grant.

All stock option share and price per share information has been retroactively restated for stock dividends and splits.

Information concerning all of the stock option transactions for the three years ended October 31, 1998 is as follows:

	0112000	SHARES UNDER OPTION			
	SHARES AVAILABLE FOR OPTION		PRICE PER SHARE		
Outstanding, October 31, 1995 Additional shares approved for 1993	255,884	3,177,371	\$1.46 - \$ 3.98		
Stock Option Plan Shares approved for grant in the Trilectron	565,151				
acquisition	157,905				
Granted	(739,806)	739,806	4.03 - 7.39		
Cancelled	42,637	(66,178)	2.05 - 5.09		
Exercised			1.95 - 3.98		
Outstanding, October 31, 1996 Additional shares approved for 1993	281,771	3,396,057			
Stock Option Plan	596,421				
Granted	(814,500)	814,500	6.22 - 12.36		
Cancelled	5,208	(87,991)	2.65 - 10.89		
Exercised		(208,377)	1.95 - 7.39		
Outstanding, October 31, 1997 Additional shares approved for 1993	68,900	3,914,189	1.46 - 12.36		
Stock Option Plan	586,865				
Granted	(429,002)	429,002	9.92 - 30.63		
Cancelled	2,382	(21,521)	9.83 - 16.33		
Exercised		(153,945)	1.95 - 16.33		
Outstanding, October 31, 1998	229,145	4,167,725	\$1.46 - \$30.63		

Summary of shares available for option and shares under option by class of common stock is as follows:

		SHARES	UNDER OPTION
	SHARES AVAILABLE FOR OPTION	SHARES	PRICE PER SHARE
Common Stock	41,190	2,765,932	\$1.46 - \$30.63
Class A Common Stock	187,955	1,401,793	1.46 - 29.17
	229,145	4,167,725	
	======	========	

Information concerning stock options outstanding and exercisable by class of common stock as of October 31, 1998 is as follows:

COMMON STOCK

RANGE OF EXERCISE PRICES	OPTIONS OUTSTANDING	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE REMAINING CONTRACTUAL LIFE	OPTIONS EXERCISABLE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE
\$ 1.46 - \$ 3.33 3.34 - 7.33 7.34 - 12.36 12.37 - 30.63	1,423,362 502,895 566,925 272,750	\$ 2.23 4.47 9.96 30.16	3.5 5.8 8.4 9.6	1,407,013 405,425 303,754 0	\$2.23 4.41 9.87 0.00
	2,765,932	 \$ 6.98	 5.5	2,116,192	\$3.74
	========	======	===	========	

CLASS A COMMON STOCK

RANGE OF EXERCISE PRICES	OPTIONS OUTSTANDING	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE REMAINING CONTRACTUAL LIFE	OPTIONS EXERCISABLE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE
\$ 1.46 - \$ 3.33 3.34 - 7.33 7.34 - 12.36 12.37 - 29.17	711,533 251,592 283,541 155,127 1,401,793	\$ 2.23 4.47 9.96 27.30 \$ 6.97	3.3 5.6 8.4 9.6 5.4	703,348 202,846 151,997 25,000 1,083,191	\$2.23 4.41 9.87 27.50 \$4.29

Information concerning stock options outstanding and exercisable as of October 31, 1997, all of which related to Common Stock, is as follows:

RANGE OF EXERCISE PRICES	OPTIONS OUTSTANDING	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE REMAINING CONTRACTUAL LIFE	OPTIONS EXERCISABLE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE
\$ 1.46 - \$ 3.33 3.34 - 7.33 7.34 - 12.36	2,299,653 755,486 859,050	\$ 2.25 4.47 9.97	3.9 6.3 9.4	2,248,029 546,770 355,072	\$2.25 4.39 9.87
	3,914,189	\$ 4.37 ======	 5.6 ===	3,149,871	\$3.48 =====

Information concerning stock options outstanding and exercisable as of October 31, 1996, all of which related to Common Stock, is as follows:

RANGE OF EXERCISE PRICES	OPTIONS OUTSTANDING	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE REMAINING CONTRACTUAL LIFE	OPTIONS EXERCISABLE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE
\$ 1.46 - \$ 3.33 3.34 - 5.33 5.34 - 7.39	2,384,756 850,921 160,380	\$ 2.26 4.45 7.39	4.8 6.6 3.9	2,294,191 589,124	\$2.25 4.40
	3,396,057 ======	\$ 3.05	5.2	2,883,315	\$2.69 =====

If there were a change in control of the Company, options for an additional 968,342 shares would become immediately exercisable.

The Company applies APB Opinion No. 25 and related Interpretations in accounting for its stock option plans. Accordingly, compensation expense has been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for those options granted below the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant. Had the fair value of all grants under these plans been recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period of the grants, consistent with SFAS No. 123, the Company's net income would have been \$8,913,000 (\$.71 and \$.57 basic and diluted net income per share, respectively) for fiscal 1998, \$4,805,000 (\$.40 and \$.33 basic and diluted net income per share, respectively) for fiscal 1997 and \$9,020,000 (\$.77 and \$.68 basic and diluted net income per share, respectively) for fiscal 1997 and \$9,020,000 (\$.77 and \$.68 basic and diluted net income per share, respectively) for fiscal 1996.

The estimated weighted average fair value of options granted was \$22.85 per share for Common Stock and \$20.55 per share for Class A Common Stock in fiscal 1998, \$7.73 per share in fiscal 1997 and \$3.90 per share in fiscal 1996.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	19	998	1997	1996
	COMMON STOCK	CLASS A COMMON STOCK		
Volatility Risk free interest rate (weighted average) Dividend yield (weighted average) Expected life (years)	4.94% .0017%	58.55% 5.44% .0019% 10	66.21% 6.35% .67% 10	77.19% 5.84% 1.29% 10

11. RETIREMENT PLANS

The Company has a qualified defined contribution retirement plan (the Plan) under which eligible employees of the Company and its participating subsidiaries may contribute up to 10% of their annual compensation, as defined, and the Company will contribute specified percentages ranging from 25% to 50% of employee contributions up to 3% of annual pay in Company stock or cash, as determined by the Company. The Plan also provides that the Company may contribute additional amounts in its common stock or cash at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

In September 1992, the Company sold 988,267 shares of the Company's Common Stock to the Plan for an aggregate price of \$4,122,000 entirely financed through a promissory note with the Company. The promissory note is payable in nine equal annual installments, inclusive of principal and interest at the rate of 8% per annum, of \$655,000 each and a final installment of \$640,000 and is prepayable in full or in part without penalty at any time. Prior to September 1992, the Company sold an aggregate of 678,643 shares of its Common Stock to the Plan in exchange for two notes receivable, which have been fully satisfied.

Participants receive 100% vesting in employee contributions. Vesting in Company contributions is based on number of years of service. Contributions to the Plan charged to income from continuing operations for fiscal 1998, 1997 and 1996 totaled \$452,000, \$498,000 and \$364,000, respectively, net of interest income earned on the note received from the Plan of \$182,000 in fiscal 1998, \$267,000 in fiscal 1997 and \$272,000 in fiscal 1996.

In 1991, the Company established a Directors Retirement Plan covering its then current directors. The net assets of this plan as of October 31, 1998 and 1997 are not material to the financial position of the Company. During fiscal 1998, 1997 and 1996, \$80,000, \$76,000 and \$82,000 respectively, was expensed for this plan.

12. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

	FIRST QUARTER	SECOND QUARTER	THIRD QUARTER	FOURTH QUARTER
Net sales:				
1998	\$19,783,000	\$22,673,000	\$24,062,000	\$28,833,000
1997	14,267,000	13,552,000	16,716,000	19,139,000
1996	6,978,000	7,942,000	8,059,000	11,586,000
Gross profit:				
1998	\$ 7,304,000	\$ 8,156,000	\$ 8,808,000	\$11,836,000
1997	4,741,000	4,536,000	4,869,000	6,483,000
1996	2,322,000	2,716,000	2,897,000	4,234,000

	FIRST QUARTER	SECOND QUARTER	THIRD QUARTER	FOURTH QUARTER
Income from continuing operations:				
1998	\$ 2,282,000	\$ 2,451,000	\$ 2,613,000	\$ 3,163,000
1997	1,594,000	1,640,000	1,712,000	2,073,000
1996	578,000	647,000	1,053,000	1,387,000
Net income:				
1998	\$ 2,282,000	\$ 2,451,000	\$ 2,613,000	\$ 3,163,000
1997	1,594,000	1,640,000	1,712,000	2,073,000
1996	870,000	1,082,000	6,553,000	1,387,000
Income per share from continuing operations:				
Basic				
1998	\$.18	\$.20	\$.21	\$.25
1997	.13	.14	.14	.17
1996	.05	.06	.09	.12
Diluted				
1998	\$.15	\$.16	\$.17	\$.21
1997	.11	.11	.12	.14
1996	.05	.05	.08	.10
Net income per share:				
Basic				
1998	\$.18	\$.20	\$.21	\$.25
1997	.13	.14	.14	.17
1996	.08	.09	.56	.12
Diluted				
1998	\$.15	\$.16	\$.17	\$.21
1997	.11	.11	.12	.14
1996	.07	.08	.48	.10

Due to changes in the average number of common shares outstanding, net income per share for the full fiscal year does not equal the sum of the four individual quarters.

13. SALE OF HEALTH CARE OPERATIONS

In July 1996, the Company consummated the sale of all of the outstanding capital stock of its wholly-owned subsidiary MediTek Health Corporation (MediTek), representing the Company's health care services segment, to U.S. Diagnostic Inc. In consideration for the sale of MediTek, the Company received \$13,828,000 in cash and a five-year, 6 1/2% promissory note in the principal amount of \$10,000,000. This note was sold to an unrelated party in September 1997 for the par value of the note of \$10,000,000 plus accrued interest.

The sale of MediTek resulted in a gain in fiscal 1996 of \$5,264,000, net of expenses and applicable income taxes. The income taxes on the gain are less than the normal Federal statutory rate principally due to the utilization of a \$4.6 million capital loss carryforward partially offset by state income taxes. MediTek's results of operations, net of taxes, for fiscal 1996 have been reported separately as discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. No amounts related to the discontinued operations remained in the October 31, 1996 Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The condensed statements of operations related to the discontinued health care services segment during fiscal 1996 are presented below:

	EIGHT MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 1996
Net revenues	\$11,382,000
Income before income taxes Income tax expense	\$ 1,680,000 717,000
Net income	\$ 963,000

The effective tax rate used in calculating income tax expense related to discontinued operations exceeds the normal Federal statutory tax rate due principally to state income taxes.

14. OTHER CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS, STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS INFORMATION

Accounts receivable are composed of the following:

	BALANCE AT OCTOBER 31,		
	1998	1997	
Accounts receivable Less allowance for doubtful accounts			
Accounts receivable, net	\$19,422,000 =======	\$12,560,000	

Revenue amounts set forth in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations do not include any material amounts in excess of billings related to long-term contracts.

Inventories are composed of the following:

	BALANCE AT OCTOBER 31,		
	1998	1997	
Finished products Work in process Materials, parts, assemblies and supplies	5,213,000	\$ 4,329,000 7,359,000 6,671,000	
Total inventories	\$24,327,000	\$18,359,000	

Inventories related to long-term contracts were not significant as of October 31, 1998 and October 31, 1997.

Property, plant and equipment are composed of the following:

	BALANCE AT OCTOBER 31,		
	1998	1997	
Land Buildings and improvements Machinery and equipment Construction in progress	\$ 707,000 7,477,000 17,581,000 5,058,000	\$ 525,000 6,578,000 15,753,000 507,000	
Less accumulated depreciation	30,823,000 (16,028,000)	23,363,000 (14,820,000)	
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 14,795,000	\$ 8,543,000	

Intangible assets are composed of the following:

	BALANCE AT OCTOBER 31,		
	1998 1997		
Excess of cost over the fair value of net assets acquired Deferred charges	\$54,247,000 1,691,000	\$13,539,000 905,000	
Less accumulated amortization	55,938,000 (1,974,000)	14,444,000 (1,186,000)	
Intangible assets, net	\$53,964,000 =======	\$13,258,000 =======	

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities are composed of the following:

	BALANCE AT OCTOBER 31,		
	1998	1997	
Accrued employee compensation Accrued customer rebates and credits Estimated McClain purchase price adjustment Deferred reimbursement of research and development costs Other	\$ 3,515,000 2,434,000 1,000,000 990,000 2,462,000	\$2,757,000 1,553,000 2,370,000	
Total accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$10,401,000	\$6,680,000 ======	

SALES

Export sales were \$21,874,000 in fiscal 1998, \$18,662,000 in fiscal 1997 and \$9,806,000 in fiscal 1996. Fiscal 1997 export sales include \$7,912,000 to Europe. No one customer accounted for sales of 10% or more of consolidated sales during the last three fiscal years.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPENSES

Fiscal 1998, 1997, and 1996 cost of sales amounts include approximately \$900,000, \$3,100,000 and \$2,400,000, respectively, of new product research and development expenses. The expenses for fiscal 1998 are net of \$3,500,000 received from Lufthansa and spent by the Company in fiscal 1998 pursuant to a research and development cooperation agreement entered into October 1997. Amounts received from Lufthansa and not used as of October 31, 1998 totalled \$990,000 and are recorded as deferred income on the balance sheet.

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION ARE AS FOLLOWS:

Cash paid for interest was \$996,000, \$477,000 and \$264,000 in fiscal 1998, 1997 and 1996, respectively. Cash paid for income taxes was \$6,753,000, \$3,438,000 and \$4,421,000 in fiscal 1998, 1997 and 1996, respectively.

Non-cash investing and financing activities related to the acquisitions and contingent note payments during fiscal 1998, 1997 and 1996 were as follows:

	1998	1997	1996
Fair value of assets acquired:			
Intangible assets	\$40,468,000	\$8,395,000	\$3,944,000
Inventories	1,327,000	669,000	6,635,000
Accounts receivable	3,040,000	2,032,000	3,051,000
Property, plant and equipment	1,985,000	421,000	104,000
Other assets	95,000	24,000	41,000
Cash paid, including contingent note payments	(45,911,000)	(6,737,000)	(7,661,000)
Fair value of common stock issued		(3,544,000)	
Liabilities assumed	\$ 1,004,000	\$1,260,000	\$6,114,000
			=========

Non-cash investing and financing activities related to purchases by the discontinued health care operations of property, plant and equipment financed by capital leases during fiscal 1996 amounted to \$1,343,000. There were no significant capital lease financing activities during fiscal 1998 and 1997. Additionally, retained earnings was charged \$20,963,000 in fiscal 1996 as a result of the 10% stock dividends described in Note 7 above.

15. PENDING LITIGATION

In November 1989, HEICO Aerospace Corporation and Jet Avion were named defendants in a complaint filed by United Technologies Corporation (UTC) in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. As of January 27, 1998, all counts of UTC's complaint that were not previously withdrawn by UTC have been dismissed by the court. The complaint, as amended in fiscal 1995, alleged infringement of a patent, misappropriation of trade secrets and unfair competition relating to certain jet engine parts and coatings sold by Jet Avion in competition with Pratt & Whitney, a division of UTC. UTC sought approximately \$8 million in damages for the patent infringement and approximately \$30 million claims. The aggregate damages referred to in the preceding sentence did not exceed approximately \$30 million because a portion of the misappropriation and unfair competition damages duplicate the patent infringement damages. UTC also sought, among other things, pre-judgment interest and treble damages.

In July and November 1995, the Company filed its answers to UTC's complaint denying the allegations. In addition, the Company filed counterclaims against UTC for, among other things, malicious prosecution, trade disparagement, tortious interference, unfair competition and antitrust violations. The Company is seeking treble, compensatory and punitive damages in amounts to be determined at trial. UTC filed an answer denying the counterclaims. A number of motions remain pending and no trial date is currently set.

In August 1997, a Motion for Summary Judgment filed by the Company on a portion of the lawsuit was granted by the United States District Court Judge. The Summary Judgment dismissed UTC's claims for misappropriation of trade secrets and unfair competition, finding that Florida's statute of limitations bars such claims. In September 1997, UTC served a Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's Motion for Summary Judgment. In October 1997, UTC's Motion for Reconsideration was denied.

On January 28, 1998, a Motion for Summary Judgment filed by the Company on the sole remaining count in UTC's complaint (for patent infringement) was granted by the United States District Court Judge. The Summary Judgment dismissed UTC's remaining claim, finding that HEICO Aerospace Corporation and Jet Avion did not infringe UTC's patent.

As a result of these rulings, the only claims currently pending are the Company's counterclaims against UTC. UTC may challenge these rulings in further court proceedings. The Company intends to vigorously pursue its counterclaims. The ultimate outcome of this litigation is not certain at this time and no provision for gain or loss, if any, has been made in the consolidated financial statements.

In May 1998, the Company and its HEICO Aerospace Corporation and Jet Avion Corporation subsidiaries were served with a lawsuit by Travelers Casualty & Surety Co., f/k/a The Travelers Casualty and Surety Co. (Travelers). The complaint seeks reimbursement of legal fees and costs totaling in excess of \$15 million paid by Travelers in defending the Company in the above referenced litigation with UTC. In addition, Travelers seeks a declaratory judgement that the Company did not and does not have insurance coverage under certain insurance policies with Travelers and accordingly, that Travelers did not have and does not have a duty to defend or indemnify the Company under such policies. Also named as defendants in Travelers' lawsuit are UTC and one of the law firms representing the Company in the UTC litigation.

The Company intends to vigorously defend Travelers' claim and believes that it has significant counterclaims for damages. After taking into consideration legal counsel's evaluation of Travelers' claim, management is of the opinion that the outcome of the Travelers litigation will not have a significant adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Company is involved in various other legal actions arising in the normal course of business. After taking into consideration legal counsel's evaluation of such actions, management is of the opinion that the outcome of these other matters will not have a significant effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

16. SUBSEQUENT EVENT (UNAUDITED)

On January 22, 1999, the Company received notice of a proposed adjustment pursuant to an examination by the Internal Revenue Service of the Company's fiscal 1995 and 1996 tax returns, disallowing the utilization of the \$4.6 million capital loss carryforward referenced in Note 13. The Company disputes such proposed adjustment, which would result in additional taxes of approximately \$1.8 million on the gain on the sale of the discontinued health care operations.

[picture]

A Flight Support Group New Product Development engineer utilizes a Scanning Electron Microscope and an Optical Emission Spectrometer in the re-engineering of jet engine replacement parts.

[picture]

Shown here are a commercial Ground Power Unit (GPU), a military GPU and a commercial continuous flow pneumatic Air Start Unit, all manufactured by the Ground Support Group.

[picture]

A trained technician inspects aircraft components prior to delivery at the Flight Support Group's repair and overhaul business.

[picture]

View of part of the factory floor at the Ground Support Group's new manufacturing facility.

- ------

3,200,000 SHARES

(HEICO CORPORATION LOGO)

CLASS A COMMON STOCK

PROSPECTUS

MERRILL LYNCH & CO.

RAYMOND JAMES & ASSOCIATES, INC. ING BARING FURMAN SELZ LLC

FEBRUARY 10, 1999

- ------